

| Visual | Audio | Backup |
|--|---|---|
| <p>B-roll of Scott Brown standing next to truck and U.S. Capitol – then drives off. Super: Scott Brown. Out-of-State Politician.</p> | <p>Voiceover Announcer: Out-of-staters sure are interested in New Hampshire. Out-of-state politician Scott Brown.</p> | <p>Brown is a former Massachusetts Senator who moved to New Hampshire in 2013. Boston.com reported, “Brown, the former Massachusetts senator, is himself a recent New Hampshire transplant. He moved to the Granite State in 2013 and registered as a voter in December. He announced his bid to unseat incumbent Senator Jeanne Shaheen in March.” [Boston.com, 9/2/14]</p> |
| <p>B-roll of men in suits getting into limousine and driving off. Super: Out-of-State Oil Billionaires. The Koch Brothers’ Front Group Americans for Prosperity Spent Over \$2.6 million Source: New Hampshire Public Radio, 9/8/14</p> | <p>And out-of-state oil billionaires – backing his campaign with millions.</p> | <p>David Koch lives in New York City and Charles Koch lives in Wichita, KS. The New York Times reported, “Charles Koch lives in Wichita, and David lives in New York City.” [The New York Times, 6/17/14]</p> <p>Forbes: David Koch is “New York City’s richest resident.” [Forbes, The World’s Billionaires]</p> <p>Koch Brothers are oil industry billionaires who are not based in New Hampshire. The New Yorker reported, “With his brother Charles, who is seventy-four, David Koch owns virtually all of Koch Industries, a conglomerate, headquartered in Wichita, Kansas, whose annual revenues are estimated to be a hundred billion dollars. The company has grown spectacularly since their father, Fred, died, in 1967, and the brothers took charge. The Kochs operate oil refineries in Alaska, Texas, and Minnesota, and control some four thousand miles of pipeline.” [The New Yorker, 8/30/10]</p> <p>The Koch Brothers’ front group Americans for Prosperity has spent more than \$2.6 million attacking Jeanne Shaheen. New Hampshire Public Radio reported, “Of the roughly \$9.9 million in independent expenditures so far, groups that do</p> |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | <p>not disclose their donors have accounted for more than \$5 million - nearly all of it aimed at softening up the Democratic incumbent, Sen. Jeanne Shaheen, for the November general election. ... In a series of attacks on Democratic incumbents, Americans for Prosperity, a conservative 501(c)4 and the main political arm of billionaire industrialists David and Charles Koch, took aim at Shaheen's support for the Affordable Care Act. Since then, AFP has spent more than \$2.6 million attacking Shaheen on the airwaves, according to news accounts of the group's ad buys in New Hampshire television markets." [New Hampshire Public Radio, 9/8/14]</p> <p>Politico: Americans for Prosperity is “[t]he Koch brothers’ main political arm.” Politico reported, “The Koch brothers’ main political arm intends to spend more than \$125 million this year on an aggressive ground, air and data operation benefiting conservatives, according to a memo distributed to major donors and sources familiar with the group. The projected budget for Americans for Prosperity would be unprecedented for a private political group in a midterm, and would likely rival even the spending of the Republican and Democratic parties’ congressional campaign arms. The group already has spent more than \$35 million on ads attacking vulnerable Democrats in key Senate and House races, according to sources.” [Politico, 5/9/14]</p> <p>Roll Call: “Americans for Prosperity Targets N.H. Senate Race.” [Roll Call, 4/23/14]</p> <p>Politifact: AFP “has spent millions to help Republicans win control of the</p> |
|--|--|--|

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>Senate in the 2014 midterm elections.” [Politifact, 5/8/14]</p> <p>Brown to David Koch in 2011: “Your support during the election, it meant a ton. It made a difference and I can certainly use it again.” [Think Progress, 3/7/11]</p> |
| <p>B-roll of Scott Brown and lobbyist shaking hands out of truck. Super: Scott Brown. Took \$490,000 in campaign contributions from Oil and Gas Industry.</p> <p>Source: Center for Responsive Politics</p> | <p>Brown took four hundred ninety thousand in campaign contributions from the oil and gas industry.</p> | <p>Brown has received \$490,610 in career campaign contributions from the oil and gas industry. [Center for Responsive Politics]</p> |
| <p>B-roll of Scott Brown on the Senate floor. Super: Scott Brown Voted to Give Oil Companies Billions in Handouts.</p> <p>Source: Senate Vote 187, 6/15/10; Senate Vote 72, 5/17/11; Senate Vote 63, 3/29/12</p> | <p>And voted to keep giving billions in special taxpayer-funded hand-outs to oil companies.</p> | <p>Brown repeatedly voted to protect billions in taxpayer-funded subsidies for oil companies. During his time in the Senate, Brown voted three times against legislation that would eliminate the billions of dollars in special tax breaks that big oil companies receive. In June 2010, Brown voted against an amendment by Senator Bernie Sanders that would eliminate Big Oil subsidies and use the savings to reduce the federal deficit and invest in energy efficiency and conservation. In May 2011, Brown voted against consideration of the Close Big Oil Tax Loopholes Act, a bill by Senator Robert Menendez that would also reduce the deficit by eliminating tax breaks for big oil companies. Finally, in March 2012, Brown voted against consideration of the Repeal Big Oil Tax Subsidies Act, a bill by Menendez that would eliminate big oil subsidies and use the savings to promote renewable energy. [S. Amdt. 4318, Senate Vote 187, 6/15/10; S. 940, Senate Vote 72, 5/17/11; S. 2204, Senate Vote 63, 3/29/12]</p> <p>MassLive.com: Brown voted against ending \$24 billion in oil subsidies. MassLive.com reported, “Despite an afternoon plea from President Barack Obama asking the U.S. Senate to vote</p> |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>to end \$24 billion in subsidies for the oil industry, the measure failed in a 51-47 vote, mostly along party lines Thursday. U.S. Sen. Scott Brown, R-Mass., was among the GOP legislators who blocked the measure which would have curtailed tax-funded subsidies for several oil companies valued at \$24 billion over a ten-year period.” [MassLive.com, 3/29/12]</p> <p>Big Oil companies are receiving billions in subsidies. According to the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT), repealing just one major industry-specific subsidy for big oil companies, the limitation on deduction for intangible drilling and development costs, would generate approximately \$2 billion in revenue from 2012-2022. Eliminating the Section 199 deduction for big oil companies would save more than \$14 billion during the same time period. The JCT analysis was based on Senate legislation that would apply to any “major integrated oil company,” which is defined in the U.S. code as a company that produces at least 500,000 barrels of oil a year and has gross receipts in excess of \$1 billion per year. [Joint Committee on Taxation, “Estimated Budget Effects of S. 2204,” 3/23/12; S.2204; U.S. Code Section 167(h)(5)(B)]</p> |
| <p>Scott Brown’s truck drives away, exhaust coming out of his truck. Reveals the following words. Super: Scott Brown for Big Oil. Not for Us.</p> | <p>Good for Scott Brown and Big Oil. But not so good – for us.</p> | |
| <p>Paid for by the League of Conservation Voters, www.LCV.org, and not authorized by any candidate or candidate’s committee. The League of Conservation Voters is responsible for the content of this advertising.</p> | <p>The League of Conservation Voters is responsible for the content of this advertising.</p> | |