

September 18, 2012

To: Interested Parties

Fr: John Anzalone / Zac McCrary

Re: Polling Results among Likely Voters in Texas' 23rd Congressional District

Democratic challenger Pete Gallego is leading Republican incumbent Quico Canseco (43% Gallego / 38% Canseco) in the race to represent Texas' 23rd Congressional District. The 23rd is a swing district that is very competitive at the top of the ticket, and Gallego is well-positioned to take advantage of Canseco's unimpressive popularity and job rating. Gallego not only leads Canseco, but also critical undecided voters show a propensity to break for Gallego. If Gallego and his allies are able to fund a robust communication plan rebutting Canseco/GOP attacks, Gallego stands an excellent chance to oust the Republican incumbent.

<u>Pete Gallego leads Quico Canseco by five points, and undecided voters appear more likely to break Democratic than Republican.</u>

- ➤ Despite Canseco's incumbency and name-ID advantages, Democrat Pete Gallego currently leads Canseco 43% to 38%.
- ➤ Undecided voters (18% of the electorate) are disproportionately Hispanic, a group among whom Gallego overwhelmingly leads (60% Gallego / 20% Canseco).

The 23rd is a very competitive district, with a slight Democratic lean.

- ➤ The Presidential race is extremely competitive, with a one-point Obama advantage (46% Obama / 45% Romney).
- A narrow plurality of voters indicates they generally prefer Democrats (44%) to Republicans (41%) in races for Congress.

Canseco's mediocre popularity and job ratings highlight his vulnerability in such a swing district.

- ➤ Republican Canseco's 7-point net favorable rating (39% Favorable / 32% Unfavorable) lags Democrat Gallego's more robust 14-point net favorable rating (35% Favorable / 21% Unfavorable).
- ➤ Additionally, Canseco's job rating is even more unimpressive (36% Positive / 35% Negative).

Anzalone Liszt Research conducted N=500 live telephone interviews with likely 2012 general election voters in Texas' 23rd CD. Interviews were conducted between September 12-17, 2012. Respondents were selected at random, with interviews apportioned geographically based on past voter turnout. Expected margin of sampling error is ±4.4% with a 95% confidence level.