

Visual	Audio	Backup
<p>B-roll of extreme weather.  <b>Super: NASA and Scientists Agree on Climate Change.</b>  <b>CITE: NASA, Climate Change: Consensus; NASA, 1/16/13</b></p>	<p><b>Voiceover Announcer:</b>            Even though scientists agree that climate change worsens extreme weather.</p>	<p><b>97 percent of scientists agree on climate change.</b>            According to NASA, “Ninety-seven percent of climate scientists agree that climate-warming trends over the past century are very likely due to human activities, and most of the leading scientific organizations worldwide have issued public statements endorsing this position.”  <a href="#">[NASA, Climate Change: Consensus]</a></p> <p><b>NASA: Extreme weather is increasing due to climate change.</b> NASA reported on its website, “Get ready for more extreme weather and increasingly serious impacts on health, the economy and the environment, courtesy global climate change. Temperatures, rainfall, droughts, high-intensity hurricanes and severe flooding events all are increasing and projected to continue as the world's climate warms, according to the National Climate Assessment. ... The report was presented last Friday to the U.S. Global Change Research Program (USGCRP). By law, the group conducts a national assessment every four years for Congress and the president. The USGCRP is comprised of 13 federal agencies, including NASA.” <a href="#">[NASA, 1/16/13]</a></p>
<p>B-roll of Coffman in House committee.  <b>Super: Congressman Coffman. Extreme.</b></p>	<p>Congressman Coffman is so extreme... He sides with big oil.</p>	<p><b>Coffman Voted for an “Oil Above All” Energy Strategy.</b> Coffman voted to prioritize the Oil and Gas industry over public health and the environment by overturning the Clean Air Act's requirement that national air quality standards be based solely on the best science. It threatened the interests of hunters, anglers, and other outdoorsmen by establishing oil drilling as the primary use of America's public lands, and endangered fishermen and others who depend on clean oceans and beaches by supporting dangerous offshore drilling along the Atlantic and Pacific Coasts. <a href="#">[House Roll Call Vote 410, 6/21/12]</a></p>
<p>Tight cut to Coffman’s desk at committee. His i-phone is ringing. He clicks, to answer it. On the screen we can see that the caller is “Big Oil.”</p>	<p>When they call, he answers.</p>	
<p>B-roll of lobbyist meeting, silhouette.</p>	<p>Coffman takes over \$300,000 from the oil and gas industry.</p>	<p><b>Oil and Gas Donated Over \$300,000 to Coffman.</b> According to the Center for Responsive Politics,</p>

<p><b>Super: Congressman Coffman Takes Over \$300,000 From Oil and Gas Industry.</b>  <b>CITE: Center for Responsive Politics</b></p>		<p>Coffman’s campaigns have received \$327,550 from the Oil and Gas Industry. [<a href="#">Open Secrets, accessed 8/13/13</a>]</p>
<p>B-roll of a fire  <b>Super: Big Oil Denies Climate Science</b>  <b>CITE: The Guardian, 1/27/05; New Yorker, 7/1/13</b></p>	<p>While Big oil denies climate science.</p>	<p><b>Koch Brothers Influence Congress by Funding Those That Question Climate Change.</b> In July 2013, the New Yorker reported on a study by American University’s Investigative Reporting Workshop which “recounts that the Kochs have influenced the congressional climate-change debate ... [by] funding an array of nonprofit groups whose experts have testified in Congress questioning the cause, the severity, and the necessity of, acting on climate change.” [<a href="#">New Yorker, 7/1/13</a>]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p><b>Senior staff at Koch-funded groups have been testifying before Congress “questioning the science behind global warming.”</b>            According to a report by American University’s Investigative Reporting Workshop, “senior staff at more than a dozen Koch-funded nonprofit groups have made frequent trips to testify on Capitol Hill in favor of federal deregulation. One of their top causes: fighting environmental laws and government spending on alternative energy. That includes promoting American consumption of oil and coal, blaming environmental laws for hurting the economy and questioning the science behind global warming.” [<a href="#">Investigative Reporting Workshop, American University, 7/1/13</a>]</p> </li> </ul> <p><b>Exxon Mobil, Koch Brothers fund climate change denial groups.</b> A recent report by the Union of Concerned Scientists chronicles a series of “Global Warming Skeptic Organizations” that have previously or continue to receive funding from the fossil fuel industry, including Exxon Mobil and the Koch Brothers. At one point, the report notes: “ExxonMobil continues to provide annual contributions to the Heritage Foundation, despite making a public pledge in 2007 to stop funding climate contrarian groups.” [<a href="#">Union of Concerned Scientists, “Global Warming Skeptic Organizations,” 8/16/13</a>]</p>

		<p><b>Big Oil companies have funded leading climate change deniers.</b> According to Media Matters for America, many leading climate change deniers have received funding from major oil companies, including Exxon Mobil, Chevron, Texaco, the Koch Family Foundations, the American Petroleum Institute, and Occidental Petroleum. [<a href="#">Media Matters, 11/28/12</a>]</p> <p><b>Greenpeace report: Koch Brothers have funneled \$67 million to “climate denial front groups.”</b> [<a href="#">Greenpeace, “Koch Industries: Still Fueling Climate Denial</a>]</p> <p><b>The Guardian: “Oil Firms Fund Climate Change ‘Denial’.”</b> According to a report in The Guardian “Lobby groups funded by the US oil industry are targeting Britain in a bid to play down the threat of climate change and derail action to cut greenhouse gas emissions, leading scientists have warned. Bob May, president of the Royal Society, says that ‘a lobby of professional sceptics who opposed action to tackle climate change’ is turning its attention to Britain because of its high profile in the debate. ... Prof May's warning coincides with a meeting of climate change sceptics today at the Royal Institution in London organised by a British group, the Scientific Alliance, which has links to US oil company ExxonMobil through a collaboration with a US institute. Last month the Scientific Alliance published a joint report with the George C Marshall Institute in Washington that claimed to ‘undermine’ climate change claims. The Marshall institute received £51,000 from ExxonMobil for its ‘global climate change programme’ in 2003 and an undisclosed sum this month.” [<a href="#">The Guardian, 1/27/05</a>]</p> <p><b>Koch Brothers Fund Heartland Institute and ALEC.</b> In February 2013, Salon reported that the Koch Brothers had donated \$25,000 to the Heartland Institute and \$150,000 to the American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC). [<a href="#">Salon, 2/1/13</a>]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Heartland Institute Promotes Climate Denial.</b> According to The Guardian, the Heartland</li></ul>
--	--	--

		<p>Institute has been one of the most active lobbyists against policies in the United States to curb emissions, primarily by attempting to undermine confidence in the findings of scientific research that climate change is driven mainly by human activities. [<a href="#">The Guardian, 2/21/12</a>]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>ALEC Downplays Science and Risks of Global Warming.</b> According to Greenpeace, ALEC’s own materials downplay the science and risks of global warming and exaggerates the costs of addressing it. [<a href="#">Greenpeace</a>]</li> </ul>
<p>B-roll of Coffman talking on House floor.  <b>Super: Congressman Coffman. Questions if Climate Change is Even a Problem.</b>  <b>CITE: THE ATLANTIC, 6/5/12</b></p>	<p>Coffman questions whether climate change is even a problem. Protects their profits.</p>	<p><b>Coffman claimed human influence on climate change is “subject to debate.”</b> According to the Atlantic, “Rep. Mike Coffman, another Colorado Republican, said: ‘Climate change is naturally occurring. What influence do we have over that, we certainly need to look into, but that’s subject to debate.’” [<a href="#">The Atlantic, 6/15/12</a>]</p> <p><b>Coffman voted to protect Tax Breaks for Oil Companies.</b> Coffman voted against eliminating up to \$53 billion in taxpayer subsidies by closing a royalty payment loophole for oil companies operating offshore. [<a href="#">House Roll Call Vote 68, 2/16/12</a>]</p>
<p>Pollution coming out of smokestacks.  <b>Super: Congressman Coffman Opposes Efforts to Reduce Carbon Pollution.</b>  <b>CITE: House Roll Call Vote 593, 9/21/12, House Roll Call Vote 437, 8/2/13</b></p>	<p>And opposes efforts to reduce carbon pollution.</p>	<p><b>Coffman voted to block EPA from reducing carbon pollution.</b> Coffman voted to repeal the scientific finding by the Environmental Protection Agency that greenhouse gases endanger human health and the environment, which would permanently block the EPA from reducing carbon pollution and other greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act. [<a href="#">House Roll Call Vote 593, 9/21/12</a>]</p> <p><b>Coffman voted to allow polluters to emit unlimited carbon pollution.</b> Coffman voted for legislation that would give polluters a free pass to release unlimited amounts of carbon pollution and block fuel efficiency and greenhouse gas standards for cars. [<a href="#">House Roll Call Vote 603, 9/21/12</a>]</p> <p><b>Coffman voted to prevent EPA from putting a price on carbon pollution.</b> Coffman voted for an</p>

LCV  
Mike Coffman – “The Call”  
8/16/13

		amendment that would bar the EPA from imposing a price or fee on carbon pollution. <a href="#">[House Roll Call Vote 437, 8/2/13]</a>
Cut back to Coffman’s i-phone. Call coming from “Colorado.” On phone, photo of a family. Underneath is the following. <b>Super: Call Congressman Coffman. Tell Him to Stop Denying Climate Science and Act. (202) 225-7882. Paid for by the League of Conservation Voters.</b>	Tell Congressman Coffman -- stop denying climate science and act.	