

2007

FIRST SESSION
110TH CONGRESS



national
environmental
SCORECARD



LCV BOARD OF DIRECTORS *

John H. Adams
Founding Director,
Natural Resources Defense Council

Marcia Aronoff
Vice President for Programs,
Environmental Defense

Paul Austin
Executive Director,
Conservation Minnesota & Conservation
Minnesota Voter Center

Brent Blackwelder (Honorary)
President,
Friends of the Earth

The Honorable Sherwood Boehlert
Accord Group

The Honorable Carol Browner
Principal,
The Albright Group, LLC

Marcia Bystryn
Executive Director,
New York League of Conservation Voters

Brownie Carson
Executive Director,
Natural Resources Council of Maine

Carrie Clark
Executive Director,
Conservation Council of North Carolina

George Frampton, Jr.
Partner,
Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP

Wade Greene (Honorary)
Philanthropy Advisor,
Rockefeller Family & Associates

Lisa Guthrie
Executive Director,
Virginia League of Conservation Voters

Jay Harris
Changing Horizons Fund

Rampa R. Hormel
President,
Global Environment Project Institute

John Hunting (Honorary)
Chair,
Beldon Fund
John Hunting and Associates

Tom Kiernan, Treasurer
President,
National Parks Conservation Association

William H. Meadows III
President,
The Wilderness Society

Jorge Mursuli
Vice President for Hispanic Affairs and Florida
Director,
People for the American Way

Scott A. Nathan
Managing Director,
The Baupost Group, LLP

John D. Podesta
President and CEO,
Center for American Progress

Jonathan Poisner
Executive Director,
Oregon League of Conservation Voters

Lana Pollack
President,
Michigan Environmental Council

Bill Roberts, Chair
Executive Director,
Beldon Fund

Larry Rockefeller
President,
American Conservation Association

Theodore Roosevelt IV, (Honorary Chair)
Managing Director,
Lehman Brothers

Donald K. Ross
Rockefeller Family & Associates

Rodger O. Schlickeisen, Vice-Chair
President,
Defenders of Wildlife

Peggy Shepard
Executive Director,
West Harlem Environmental Action
(WE ACT)

Susan Smartt, Secretary
Executive Director,
California League of Conservation Voters

Lynde B. Uihlein
President,
Brico Fund LLC

LCV ISSUES & ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE *

Marcia Aronoff
Environmental Defense

Brent Blackwelder
Friends of the Earth

Marcia Bystryn
New York League of Conservation Voters

Lana Pollack
Michigan Environmental Council

Wesley Warren
Natural Resources Defense Council

LCV SCORECARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE *

Anna Aurilio
Environment America

Kevin Curtis
Pew Environment Group

Mike Daulton
National Audubon Society

Robert Dewey
Defenders of Wildlife

Blake Early
American Lung Association

Marty Hayden
Earthjustice Legal Defense Fund

David Jenkins
Republicans for Environmental Protection

Adam Kolton
National Wildlife Federation

Linda Lance
The Wilderness Society

Craig Lasher
Population Action International

Craig Obey
National Parks Conservation Association

Nancy Perry
The Humane Society of the United States

Erich Pica
Friends of the Earth

Melinda Pierce
Sierra Club

Cindy Shogan
Alaska Wilderness League

Elizabeth Thompson
Environmental Defense

Karen Wayland
Natural Resources Defense Council

Marchant Wentworth
Union of Concerned Scientists

* Organizations are shown for identification purposes only

CONTENTS

1. ANALYSIS

Overview of the 1st
Session of the 110th
Congress 2

Voting Summary 4

2. SENATE SCORES

Vote Descriptions 8

Senate Votes 12

3. HOUSE SCORES

Vote Descriptions 19

House Votes 24

4. INDEX

Members of the
1st Session of the
110th Congress 41

The nonprofit League of Conservation Voters (LCV) has published a *National Environmental Scorecard* every Congress since 1970, the year it was founded by leaders of the environmental movement following the first Earth Day.

As the independent political voice for the environment, LCV advocates for sound environmental policies and works to elect pro-environment candidates who help implement such policies.

This edition of the *National Environmental Scorecard* provides objective, factual information about the most important environmental legislation considered and the corresponding voting records of all Members of the first session of the 110th Congress. This *Scorecard* represents the consensus of experts from about 20 respected environmental and conservation organizations who selected the key votes on which Members of Congress should be graded. LCV scores votes on the most important issues of the year, including energy, global warming, environmental health and safety protections, resource conservation, and spending for environmental programs. The votes included in this *Scorecard* presented Members of Congress with a real choice and help distinguish which legislators are working for environmental protection. Except in rare circumstances, the *Scorecard* excludes consensus action on the environment and issues on which no recorded votes occurred.

Dedicated environmentalists and national leaders volunteered their time to identify and research crucial votes. We extend special thanks to our Board of Directors, Issues & Accountability Committee, and Scorecard Advisory Committee for their valuable input.

Edited by Louis Bayard, Barbara Gonzalez-McIntosh, Tim Greeff, Gene Karpinski, Tony Massaro, Bethany Rogerson and Tiernan Sittenfeld. Design by Sarah McPhie, Cutting Edge Design. Published February 2008 by the League of Conservation Voters. All rights reserved. For additional copies or information about joining LCV, please contact us at: 1920 L Street NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20036. Phone: (202) 785-8683; Fax: (202) 835-0491; Email: lcv@lcv.org. Full *Scorecard* information is also available online at www.lcv.org/scorecard.

2007 OVERVIEW

The League of Conservation Voters 2007 *National Environmental Scorecard* reflects a desperately needed Congressional down payment on environmental change — not just for the future of the planet and human health, but also for the economy, consumers, and national security. Indeed, 2007 may well be remembered as a turning point for the environment, and especially for clean energy and global warming. After years of backward-looking legislation that only increased our dependence on fossil fuels, the House and Senate finally began moving toward a clean energy future during the first session of the 110th Congress.

The progress of 2007 was largely due to new leadership in both the House and the Senate and to the many new members who came to Congress determined to bring about a clean energy future. In addition to working hard to enact policies that protect the environment and public health, LCV works to elect pro-environment candidates who will help implement such policies, and the 2006 elections were remarkably successful on that front. We are delighted that not only do the LCV-endorsed members of the Congressional class of 2006 have an impressive average score of 87 percent (compared with just 53 percent for the Congress as a whole), but many of them also championed key legislative priorities.

The 2006 elections were also a great success for LCV's trademark Dirty Dozen program, which targets current and former members of Congress — regardless of party affiliation — who consistently vote against the environment and are running in races where LCV has a serious chance of affecting the outcome. Our campaigns helped defeat 9 out of 13 Dirty Dozen members who had a combined average lifetime score of just 8 percent.

The new members who defeated them have a combined average score of 88 percent.

Without a doubt, the biggest environmental highlight of 2007 was the passage and enactment into law of H.R. 6, the Energy Independence and Security Act. It raises the overall fuel economy of cars and light trucks to 35 miles per gallon by 2020—the first fuel economy increase of new cars, SUVs, and other light trucks since 1975. This increase will save more than 1.1 million barrels of oil per day in 2020 and reduce global warming pollution by almost 200 million metric tons per year, which is equivalent to approximately 3 percent of U.S. emissions in 2005. The new energy law also includes important incentives and standards for efficiency in buildings and homes. In addition, it contains new light bulb efficiency standards that will reduce global warming pollution by 100 million metric tons per year by 2030, which is equivalent to approximately 1 percent of U.S. emissions in 2005.

While the new energy law represents real progress, it failed to include important provisions passed by the House. Unfortunately, a minority of senators forced the

removal of the renewable electricity standard requiring that 15 percent of our energy come from renewable sources by 2020. Additionally, the provision to repeal billions of dollars in tax breaks for oil companies and put the funds toward clean, renewable energy fell one vote short of the 60 votes needed in the Senate. Congress clearly has more work to do to ensure a clean energy future, including passing a strong renewable electricity standard and a progressive tax package — both of which are critical for creating new jobs in a new economy and addressing global warming.

Although opponents of clean, renewable energy thwarted progress, they also failed to push through misguided policies of the past and other ill-advised proposals that could have exacerbated our energy problems. Both chambers wisely rejected efforts to increase offshore drilling and the production of liquid coal to fuel our automobiles (a fuel containing twice the global warming pollution of conventional gasoline).

In addition to working for a new energy future, LCV spent much of 2007 building support for a science-based global warming bill to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by at least 15-20 percent by 2020 and 80 percent by 2050. Although comprehensive global warming legislation did not reach the floor of either chamber, Congress started to address this most pressing of issues.

For the first time, the House adopted a Sense of the Congress resolution calling for mandatory limits on global warming pollution and also approved a National Intelligence Estimate (NIE) to deal with the security implications of climate change. In an important step forward, the Senate Environment & Public Works

Committee passed America's Climate Security Act out of committee (it was not debated on the Senate floor in 2007).

While the 2007 Scorecard is largely focused on energy and global warming, the House once again passed numerous pro-environment amendments to the Interior-Environment Appropriations bill, including provisions to strengthen clean air protections, stop subsidies for building logging roads in Alaska's Tongass Rainforest, and prevent reckless oil shale development on public lands. And in a major departure from the last several years, the Interior-Environment Appropriations bill provides increased funding to begin to restore underfunded environment and conservation agencies and programs.

One area in which this Congress failed to make progress was on the farm bill, which has tremendous impacts on our air, land and water. Unfortunately, amendments to reform farm bill subsidies and redirect funds to important conservation programs were soundly defeated in both chambers.



As we begin the second half of the 110th Congress, we have high hopes that Congress will build on the progress it made in 2007 by making 2008 a banner year for the environment. In particular, Congress must act quickly to address global warming by passing comprehensive legislation that achieves the emissions reductions the science shows are necessary. The world's leading climate scientists have made clear that we have a very short window in which to act to prevent the most catastrophic impacts of global warming. We urge the 110th Congress to do just that.

VOTING SUMMARY

2007 STATE AVERAGES

STATE	SENATE	HOUSE	STATE	SENATE	HOUSE
Alabama	10	29	Montana	73	10
Alaska	33	10	Nebraska	43	22
Arizona	7	51	Nevada	60	40
Arkansas	63	56	New Hampshire	57	95
California	83	60	New Jersey	93	84
Colorado	47	53	New Mexico	57	38
Connecticut	77	94	New York	83	80
Delaware	80	95	North Carolina	7	51
Florida	57	39	North Dakota	80	85
Georgia	7	39	Ohio	53	40
Hawaii	83	80	Oklahoma	3	4
Idaho	13	3	Oregon	80	80
Illinois	80	63	Pennsylvania	80	62
Indiana	63	47	Rhode Island	93	93
Iowa	60	51	South Carolina	7	33
Kansas	3	43	South Dakota	33	70
Kentucky	7	37	Tennessee	30	48
Louisiana	27	21	Texas	3	30
Maine	90	100	Utah	10	22
Maryland	93	81	Vermont	87	95
Massachusetts	93	94	Virginia	67	35
Michigan	67	45	Washington	87	72
Minnesota	60	64	West Virginia	73	50
Mississippi	0	44	Wisconsin	93	71
Missouri	37	39	Wyoming	17	0

2007 MAPS OF STATE AVERAGES



2007 SENATE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest Senate Delegations:

Maryland 93% · Massachusetts 93% · New Jersey 93% · Rhode Island 93% · Wisconsin 93% · Maine 90%

Senate Scores of 100:

Florida Nelson · Maine Collins · Pennsylvania Casey

Lowest Senate Delegations:

Mississippi 0% · Kansas 3% · Oklahoma 3% · Texas 3% · Arizona 7% · Georgia 7% · Kentucky 7% · North Carolina 7% · South Carolina 7%

Senate Scores of 0:

Arizona McCain · Kansas Roberts · Louisiana Vitter · Mississippi Cochran · Lott · Missouri Bond · Oklahoma Inhofe · Texas Cornyn

2007 HOUSE HIGH AND LOW SCORES

Highest House Delegations:

Maine 100% · Delaware 95% · New Hampshire 95% · Vermont 95% · Connecticut 94% · Massachusetts 94%

House Scores of 100:

Arizona Mitchell California Lofgren · Richardson · Stark · Tauscher · Waters Connecticut Murphy · Shays Illinois Schakowsky Kentucky Chandler · Yarmuth Maine Allen · Michaud Maryland Wynn Massachusetts McGovern · Olver · Tierney · Tsongas Minnesota Ellison New Hampshire Hodes New Jersey Andrews · Holt · Pallone · Pascrell New Mexico Udall New York Bishop · Israel · Maloney · McCarthy Oregon Blumenauer · Wu Pennsylvania Sestak Wisconsin Baldwin

Lowest House Delegations:

Wyoming 0% · Idaho 3% · Oklahoma 4% · Alaska 10% · Montana 10%

House Scores of 0:

California Calvert · Herger · Issa · McCarthy · Nunes · Radanovich Georgia Broun · Gingrey · Kingston · Linder · Westmoreland Idaho Sali Illinois Manzullo Iowa King Kentucky Davis · Lewis Louisiana Boustany · McCrery Michigan Camp Minnesota Bachmann · Kline Missouri Blunt New Mexico Pearce North Carolina McHenry Ohio Boehner Oklahoma Cole · Fallin · Lucas South Carolina Wilson Texas Barton · Brady · Burgess · Carter · Conaway · Culberson · Gohmert · Granger · Hall · Neugebauer · Sessions · Smith · Thornberry Utah Bishop Virginia Goode · Goodlatte Wyoming Cubin

RATING THE LEADERSHIP OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITTEES

SENATE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture, Nutrition and Forestry	Harkin (IA)	87	Chambliss (GA)	7
Appropriations	Byrd (WV)	73	Cochran (MS)	0
Commerce, Science, and Transportation	Inouye (HI)	80	Stevens (AK)	27
Energy and Natural Resources	Bingaman (NM)	93	Domenici (NM)	20
Environment and Public Works	Boxer (CA)	80	Inhofe (OK)	0
SENATE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	83	RANKING MEMBERS	11

HOUSE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture	Peterson (MN-07)	60	Goodlatte (VA-06)	0
Appropriations	Obey (WI-07)	90	Lewis, Jerry (CA-41)	15
Energy and Commerce	Dingell (MI-15)	90	Barton (TX-06)	0
Natural Resources	Rahall (WV-03)	75	Young, Don (AK-AL)	10
Science and Technology	Gordon (TN-06)	80	Hall (TX-04)	0
Transportation and Infrastructure	Oberstar (MN-08)	75	Mica (FL-07)	5
HOUSE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	CHAIRS	78	RANKING MEMBERS	5

PARTY LEADERS' SCORES

SENATE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Reid (NV), Majority Leader	87	McConnell (KY), Minority Leader	7
Durbin (IL), Majority Whip	93	Lott (MS), Minority Whip	0
Schumer (NY), Conference Vice Chair	93	Kyl (AZ), Conference Secretary	13
Leadership Average	91	Leadership Average	7

HOUSE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Pelosi* (CA), Speaker	NA		
Hoyer (MD), Majority Leader	90	Boehner (OH), Minority Leader	0
Clyburn (SC), Whip	80	Blunt (MO), Minority Whip	0
Emanuel (IL), Caucus Chair	90	Putnam (FL), Conference Chair	5
Leadership Average	87	Leadership Average	2

*The Speaker of the House votes at her discretion.

DIRTY DOZEN VS. NEW MEMBER SCORES

Average Lifetime Score of Dirty Dozen Members Defeated in 2006	8
Average 2007 Score of New Members Who Defeated Dirty Dozen Members	88

2007 SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS



1, 2, 3 & 4. ENERGY LEGISLATION:

FUEL EFFICIENCY AND CLEAN, RENEWABLE ENERGY

America's growing dependence on oil and the threat of global climate change require a new energy policy that raises fuel economy standards, boosts production of clean, renewable energy sources, increases energy efficiency and develops the next generation of technology. The Senate took the first step toward this goal by passing comprehensive energy legislation (H.R. 6).

The bill's most significant achievement was to raise automobile fuel efficiency standards to 35 miles per gallon by 2020—the first time these standards had been raised in more than 30 years. That single act will reduce U.S. oil consumption by 1.2 million barrels of oil per day by 2020—more than half what we currently import from the entire Persian Gulf—saving consumers more than \$26 billion and eliminating 206 million metric tons of global warming pollution.

The energy bill also established new energy efficiency standards for appliances and federal buildings, protected consumers from price gouging, committed the nation to developing 15 billion gallons of renewable fuels by 2015, and set biofuels production targets of 36 billion gallons by 2022.

Opponents of the bill mounted a filibuster against it, but on June 21, 2007, the Senate voted 62-32 to invoke cloture, which limits debate and allows the Senate to vote on the bill's final passage (Senate roll call vote 225). YES is the pro-environment vote. The Senate then approved H.R. 6 by a 65-27 vote (Senate roll call vote 226). YES is the pro-environment vote.

In December 2007, after months of negotiations with the House, the Senate took up an amended version of H.R. 6. The new bill included environmental safeguards for the expansion of biofuels, a new standard requiring utilities to generate 15 percent of their electricity from renewable sources by 2020, and incentives and tax credits for clean energy.

Opponents of a renewable energy standard mounted a filibuster against the bill. On December 7, 2007, the Senate voted 53-42 to override the filibuster, but this was seven votes shy of the 60 needed to end debate (Senate roll call vote 416). YES is the pro-environment vote. Although the renewable energy standard was stripped from the bill, opponents still objected to the bill's transfer of incentives and tax credits from the oil industry to renewable energy and energy efficiency. On December 13, 2007, the Senate again failed to override the filibuster with a 59-40 vote (Senate roll call vote 425). YES is the pro-environment vote. The clean energy incentives were subsequently stripped from the bill, which then passed the House and Senate and was signed by President Bush on December 19, 2007.

5. OIL REFINERIES

In the name of promoting “domestic security,” Senator James Inhofe (R-OK) offered an amendment to H.R. 6, the energy bill, that would have allowed the elimination of current public health and environmental permitting procedures for new or expanded oil refineries, coal-to-liquid refineries and ethanol or bio-diesel plants.

The amendment would have replaced all state and federal approvals with a single “consolidated” permit and would have set arbitrary deadlines for approving permits regardless of the complexity of the proposed facility. The amendment would also have barred state courts from reviewing permitting decisions and would have limited challenges brought in federal court.

On June 13, 2007, the Senate rejected the Inhofe amendment by a 43-52 vote (Senate roll call vote 210). NO is the pro-environment vote.



6. UNDERMINING RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY

By reducing our reliance on expensive and polluting power plants, renewable energy sources also generate consumer savings, new jobs, and economic investments in rural communities. Twenty-five states and the District of Columbia have now adopted a renewable electricity standard, which requires utilities to produce a certain percentage of their electricity from renewable energy sources.

During Senate consideration of H.R. 6, the energy bill, Senator Jeff Bingaman (D-NM) introduced an amendment to establish a 15 percent national standard by 2020. Senator Pete Domenici (R-NM) countered with an amendment that would have allowed conventional and polluting sources of energy, such as coal-fired power plants and nuclear power, to qualify for credits under the national standard. The Domenici amendment would have effectively eliminated any increase in renewable energy production. On June 14, 2007, the Senate voted 56-39 to table the amendment (Senate roll call vote 211). YES is the pro-environment vote.



7. VIRGINIA OFFSHORE DRILLING

In 1981, Congress acted to protect America’s shores, beaches, and marine ecosystems by adopting a moratorium on oil and gas development in coastal waters. Since then, Congress has annually reaffirmed that moratorium.

During Senate consideration of H.R. 6, the energy bill, Senator John Warner (R-VA) offered an amendment that would have authorized Virginia to petition for natural gas drilling and exploration in its coastal waters. The amendment would have paved the way for drilling just a few miles from Assateague Island National Seashore, Chincoteague National Wildlife Refuge and the mouth of the Chesapeake Bay. It would also have overridden the objections of neighboring states at risk from the drilling.

On June 14, 2007, the Senate rejected the Warner amendment by a 43-44 vote (Senate roll call vote 212). NO is the pro-environment vote.



8. LIQUID COAL

Liquid coal produces almost twice as much global warming pollution as conventional gasoline. Moreover, the large-scale deployment of liquid coal would exacerbate the impacts of coal mining on many communities and ecosystems, including polluted air and water and devastated landscapes.

During Senate consideration of H.R. 6, the energy bill, Senator James Bunning (R-KY) offered an amendment requiring that 6 billion gallons of “clean coal-derived fuels” be produced by 2022. On June 19, 2007, the Senate rejected the Bunning amendment by a 39-55 vote (Senate roll call vote 213). NO is the pro-environment vote.





9. BIOFUELS

Biofuels like ethanol can be important tools for reducing global warming, decreasing our dependence on oil and revitalizing rural economies. Without adequate safeguards, however, biofuels production can deplete forests and critical wildlife habitat and pollute water supplies.

In its initial form, H.R. 6, the energy bill, included a renewable fuel standard requiring 36 billion gallons of biofuels to be produced in the United States by 2022. Senators Jeff Bingaman (D-NM), Barbara Boxer (D-CA), Joe Lieberman (I-CT), and Harry Reid (D-NV) introduced an amendment that would require the EPA to study the environmental impacts of the new standard and would give the EPA authority to consider adverse water impacts in regulating fuels and fuel additives.

On June 20, 2007, the Senate approved the amendment by a 58-34 vote (Senate roll call vote 219). YES is the pro-environment vote. Further safeguards were added before the bill's final passage on December 13, 2007.



10. WATER RESOURCES – GLOBAL WARMING

The damage wrought by Hurricane Katrina has underscored how important it is to incorporate global warming and sea-level rise into the planning of water resources projects. During the consideration of H.R. 1495, the Water Resources Development Act reauthorization bill, Senators John Kerry (D-MA), Russ Feingold (D-WI), Susan Collins (R-ME), and others offered an amendment requiring the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to consider the long and short-term effects of global climate change and to use the best available modern climate science in planning water projects.

When Senator James Inhofe (R-OK) threatened to filibuster the bill, Senate leaders agreed the amendment would require 60 votes to pass. On May 15, 2007, the amendment failed by a 51-42 vote (Senate roll call vote 166). YES is the pro-environment vote. The Water Resources Development Act became law on November 11, 2007 without this change.



11. WATER RESOURCES – PROJECT PRIORITIZATION

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers currently has a backlog of more than \$58 billion in previously authorized water projects—and an annual average appropriation of only \$2 billion for completing them. Reformers have argued for creating an independent commission that could assess and prioritize these projects and direct funding away from “pork barrel” projects toward projects of real value and importance.

During consideration of H.R. 1495, the Water Resources Development Act reauthorization bill, Senators Russ Feingold (D-WI), John McCain (R-AZ), Tom Coburn (R-OK) and others offered an amendment to establish such a commission. On May 15, 2007, the amendment was defeated by a 22-69 vote (Senate roll call vote 165). YES is the pro-environment vote.

The reauthorization bill was later passed and then vetoed by President Bush. After Congress overrode the veto, the bill became law, giving the Corps of Engineers an additional \$23 billion in water projects, without any safeguards in place to keep the money from supporting “pork” projects.



12. POPULATION

By allowing women to plan the size of their families, voluntary family planning programs also help conserve natural resources in areas where expanding human numbers threaten biodiversity and endangered species. Since the mid-1990s, family planning opponents have cut U.S. funding for these programs by arguing, in part, that the money funds abortion. In fact, the use of U.S. foreign assistance to fund abortion has been prohibited since 1973.

H.R. 2754, the State Department-Foreign Operations appropriations bill, included language allowing overseas organizations, otherwise ineligible for U.S. family planning funding, to continue receiving contraceptives from the U.S. government. Senator Sam Brownback (R-KS) offered an amend-

ment to strike that exemption. On September 6, 2007, the Senate rejected the Brownback amendment by a 40-54 vote (Senate roll call vote 320). NO is the pro-environment vote. The contraceptive exemption was not included in the Omnibus appropriations bill because of President Bush's veto threat.

13. FARM BILL – SUBSIDY REFORM

Because farmers, ranchers and private forest landowners control over half of the U.S. landscape, they have a significant impact on our air and water quality and wildlife habitat. Unfortunately, traditional farm subsidies, which soak up over half of all farm spending, encourage overproduction on environmentally sensitive lands. And roughly two out of three landowners who want to enlist in voluntary conservation programs are turned away because of insufficient program funds.

The farm bill is the largest source of conservation funding in America, and its re-authorization every five years gives Congress a chance to reform U.S. farm policy in ways that enhance our environment. During consideration of the 2007 farm bill, Senators Richard Lugar (R-IN) and Frank Lautenberg (D-NJ) offered an amendment to make the farm subsidy system more equitable and to boost conservation funding by \$1.2 billion. On December 11, 2007, the amendment failed by a 37-58 vote (Senate roll call vote 417). YES is the pro-environment vote.

14. FARM BILL – SUBSIDY CAPS

With nearly 40 percent of our country's threatened or endangered wildlife found only on private lands, the management of those lands by farmers, ranchers, and foresters will determine the future of many of these species. The voluntary conservation programs funded through the five-year farm bill are critical to these efforts. Yet, because of chronic underfunding, these programs have to turn away most of the farmers who want to enroll in them.

During floor debate of the 2007 farm bill, Senators Byron Dorgan (D-ND) and Chuck Grassley (R-IA) offered an amendment to cap farm subsidies and to channel nearly \$100 million of the resulting savings into the Grasslands Reserve Program and the Farmland Protection Program. The amendment would have closed loopholes that allow industrial-scale megafarms to collect seven-figure government checks.

Although a nearly identical amendment was passed in 2002, it was not included in the final version of the farm bill. During consideration of the 2007 farm bill, Senate rules required 60 votes to pass the Dorgan-Grassley amendment. On December 13, 2007, the amendment failed by a vote of 56-43 (Senate roll call vote 424). YES is the pro-environment vote.

15. EMINENT DOMAIN

Traditionally, state and local governments have used eminent domain to acquire land for parks and other public areas—a practice that has long been approved by the courts. In 1896, for instance, the Supreme Court unanimously endorsed condemning land in order to create Gettysburg National Battlefield Park.

During consideration of H.R. 2419, the farm bill, Senator Larry Craig (R-ID) offered an amendment to bar states and localities from using eminent domain to acquire any land for public parks, recreation, open space or conservation. The ban would even apply to efforts to save lives or private property. Any state or locality that violated the ban would forfeit all federal funding and financial assistance for five years.

On December 13, 2007, the Senate rejected the Craig amendment by a 37-58 vote (Senate roll call vote 429). NO is the pro-environment vote.



SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2007

% 109th Congress

% Lifetime

① CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Cloture

② CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Passage

③ CAFE & Clean Energy—Cloture

④ Oil Subsidies Repeal—Cloture

⑤ Oil Refineries

⑥ Undermining Renewable Electricity

⑦ Virginia Offshore Drilling

⑧ Liquid Coal

⑨ Biofuels

⑩ Water Resources—Global Warming

⑪ Water Resources—Project Prioritization

⑫ Population

⑬ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform

⑭ Farm Bill—Subsidy Caps

⑮ Farm Bill—Eminent Domain

ALABAMA

Sessions, J.	(R)	13	4	4	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
Shelby	(R)	7	4	14	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓

ALASKA

Murkowski	(R)	40	11	16	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
Stevens	(R)	27	7	14	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗

ARIZONA

Kyl	(R)	13	11	8	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
McCain	(R)	0	41	24	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?

ARKANSAS

Lincoln	(D)	67	59	46	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	?	✗	✓
Pryor	(D)	60	56	54	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓

CALIFORNIA

Boxer	(D)	80	93	88	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
Feinstein	(D)	87	93	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓

COLORADO

Allard	(R)	20	11	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
Salazar, K.	(D)	73	78	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓

CONNECTICUT

Dodd	(D)	60	93	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	?	?
Lieberman	(I)	93	70	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓

DELAWARE

Biden	(D)	67	93	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✗	?	?	✓	?
Carper	(D)	93	78	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

FLORIDA

Martinez	(R)	13	7	10	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
Nelson, Bill	(D)	100	81	61	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2007

% 109th Congress

% Lifetime

① CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Cloture

② CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Passage

③ CAFE & Clean Energy—Cloture

④ Oil Subsidies Repeal—Cloture

⑤ Oil Refineries

⑥ Undermining Renewable Electricity

⑦ Virginia Offshore Drilling

⑧ Liquid Coal

⑨ Biofuels

⑩ Water Resources—Global Warming

⑪ Water Resources—Project Prioritization

⑫ Population

⑬ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform

⑭ Farm Bill—Subsidy Caps

⑮ Farm Bill—Eminent Domain

GEORGIA

Chambliss	(R)	7	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Isakson	(R)	7	4	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HAWAII

Akaka	(D)	87	81	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
Inouye	(D)	80	67	53	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓

IDAHO

Craig	(R)	13	4	8	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
Crapo	(R)	13	4	5	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

ILLINOIS

Durbin	(D)	93	96	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
Obama	(D)	67	96	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	?	?	✓	?

INDIANA

Bayh	(D)	73	89	76	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
Lugar	(R)	53	19	26	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗

IOWA

Grassley	(R)	33	11	22	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Harkin	(D)	87	89	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓

KANSAS

Brownback	(R)	7	15	13	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗
Roberts	(R)	0	0	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

KENTUCKY

Bunning	(R)	7	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
McConnell	(R)	7	0	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗

LOUISIANA

Landrieu	(D)	53	48	43	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
Vitter	(R)	0	7	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

2. SENATE SCORES

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2007

% 109th Congress

% Lifetime

① CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Cloture

② CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Passage

③ CAFE & Clean Energy—Cloture

④ Oil Subsidies Repeal—Cloture

⑤ Oil Refineries

⑥ Undermining Renewable Electricity

⑦ Virginia Offshore Drilling

⑧ Liquid Coal

⑨ Biofuels

⑩ Water Resources—Global Warming

⑪ Water Resources—Project Prioritization

⑫ Population

⑬ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform

⑭ Farm Bill—Subsidy Caps

⑮ Farm Bill—Eminent Domain

MAINE

Collins	(R)	100	70	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Snowe	(R)	80	74	65	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗

MARYLAND

Cardin	(D)	93	97	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
Mikulski	(D)	93	89	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓

MASSACHUSETTS

Kennedy, E.	(D)	93	96	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
Kerry	(D)	93	89	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓

MICHIGAN

Levin, C.	(D)	67	74	77	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓
Stabenow	(D)	67	81	81	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓

MINNESOTA

Coleman	(R)	33	33	27	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
Klobuchar	(D)	87		87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓

MISSISSIPPI

Cochran	(R)	0	4	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Lott	(R)	0	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

MISSOURI

Bond	(R)	0	4	6	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
McCaskill	(D)	73		73	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓

MONTANA

Baucus, M.	(D)	67	59	66	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Tester	(D)	80		80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗

NEBRASKA

Hagel	(R)	20	7	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
Nelson, Ben	(D)	67	33	37	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2007

% 109th Congress

% Lifetime

① CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Cloture

② CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Passage

③ CAFE & Clean Energy—Cloture

④ Oil Subsidies Repeal—Cloture

⑤ Oil Refineries

⑥ Undermining Renewable Electricity

⑦ Virginia Offshore Drilling

⑧ Liquid Coal

⑨ Biofuels

⑩ Water Resources—Global Warming

⑪ Water Resources—Project Prioritization

⑫ Population

⑬ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform

⑭ Farm Bill—Subsidy Caps

⑮ Farm Bill—Eminent Domain

NEVADA

Ensign	(R)	33	26	29	✓	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
Reid, H.	(D)	87	89	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Gregg	(R)	60	33	45	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
Sununu	(R)	53	41	35	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗

NEW JERSEY

Lautenberg	(D)	93	96	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
Menendez	(D)	93	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓

NEW MEXICO

Bingaman	(D)	93	78	69	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
Domenici	(R)	20	7	14	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗

NEW YORK

Clinton	(D)	73	89	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	?
Schumer	(D)	93	93	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓

NORTH CAROLINA

Burr	(R)	7	4	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
Dole	(R)	7	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗

NORTH DAKOTA

Conrad	(D)	73	56	54	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Dorgan	(D)	87	59	62	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓

OHIO

Brown	(D)	87	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
Voinovich	(R)	20	22	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓

OKLAHOMA

Coburn	(R)	7	11	10	?	?	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
Inhofe	(R)	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

2. SENATE SCORES

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2007

% 109th Congress

% Lifetime

① CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Cloture

② CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Passage

③ CAFE & Clean Energy—Cloture

④ Oil Subsidies Repeal—Cloture

⑤ Oil Refineries

⑥ Undermining Renewable Electricity

⑦ Virginia Offshore Drilling

⑧ Liquid Coal

⑨ Biofuels

⑩ Water Resources—Global Warming

⑪ Water Resources—Project Prioritization

⑫ Population

⑬ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform

⑭ Farm Bill—Subsidy Caps

⑮ Farm Bill—Eminent Domain

OREGON

Smith, G.	(R)	73	37	32	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓
Wyden	(D)	87	96	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓

PENNSYLVANIA

Casey	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Specter	(R)	60	33	45	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓

RHODE ISLAND

Reed, J.	(D)	93	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
Whitehouse	(D)	93		93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓

SOUTH CAROLINA

DeMint	(R)	7	7	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✓	✗
Graham	(R)	7	22	10	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

SOUTH DAKOTA

Johnson, Tim*	(D)	33	78	67	?	?	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✗	✓
Thune	(R)	33	15	15	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

TENNESSEE

Alexander, L.	(R)	33	19	16	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
Corker	(R)	27		27	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗

TEXAS

Cornyn	(R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Hutchison	(R)	7	4	4	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

UTAH

Bennett	(R)	7	7	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
Hatch	(R)	13	11	10	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

VERMONT

Leahy	(D)	80	96	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓
Sanders	(I)	93	97	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

* Senator Johnson was out for most of the session due to illness.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

		% 2007	% 109th Congress	% Lifetime	1 CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Cloture	2 CAFE & Energy Efficiency—Passage	3 CAFE & Clean Energy—Cloture	4 Oil Subsidies Repeal—Cloture	5 Oil Refineries	6 Undermining Renewable Electricity	7 Virginia Offshore Drilling	8 Liquid Coal	9 Biofuels	10 Water Resources—Global Warming	11 Water Resources—Project Prioritization	12 Population	13 Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	14 Farm Bill—Subsidy Caps	15 Farm Bill—Eminent Domain
VIRGINIA																			
Warner	(R)	47	19	22	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
Webb	(D)	87		87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
WASHINGTON																			
Cantwell	(D)	87	93	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
Murray	(D)	87	93	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
WEST VIRGINIA																			
Byrd	(D)	73	63	52	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
Rockefeller	(D)	73	78	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✗	✗	✓
WISCONSIN																			
Feingold	(D)	93	93	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
Kohl	(D)	93	74	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
WYOMING																			
Barrasso*	(R)	33		33	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✗	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
Enzi	(R)	13	7	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
Thomas, C.*	(R)	0	11	4	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✗	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ

* Senator Thomas died on June 4, 2007, and Senator Barrasso was sworn in on June 25, 2007.



2007 HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

1. OIL SUBSIDIES REPEAL

During the first 100 hours of the 110th Congress, the House voted on H.R. 6, the CLEAN Energy Act of 2007. This bill demonstrated a new commitment to shifting royalties and tax incentives away from big oil and gas companies toward renewable energy and energy efficiency. It essentially closed a tax loophole that exempted offshore fuel producers from paying federal royalties when fuel prices reach certain thresholds. By ensuring that the oil companies pay their fair share in federal royalties, this bill created \$14 billion in new funds for research into alternative energy sources and future conservation measures. On January 18, 2007, the House voted 264-163 in favor of the bill (House roll call vote 40). YES is the pro-environment vote.



2, 3 & 4. ENERGY LEGISLATION: FUEL EFFICIENCY AND CLEAN, RENEWABLE ENERGY

To be effective, an energy bill must reduce our dependence on fossil fuels, protect the environment, and take meaningful steps to solve global warming while creating jobs and saving money. H.R. 3221 met these criteria. It set new efficiency standards for appliances, lightings and buildings. It also took important steps toward restoring sound stewardship of public lands and helping America's fish and wildlife, public lands, coasts, and oceans adapt to global warming.

During consideration of H.R. 3221, a comprehensive energy bill, Representatives Tom Udall (D-NM), Todd Platts (R-PA) and Ciro Rodriguez (D-TX) introduced an amendment requiring utilities to produce at least 15 percent of their electricity from renewable energy sources by 2020. This amendment would save consumers \$16.4 billion on energy bills through 2030 and create tens of thousands of new jobs. At the same time, it would slash global warming pollution by 180 million metric tons per year by 2030—equivalent to taking more than 29 million cars off the road.

On August 4, 2007, the House approved the amendment by a 220-190 vote (House roll call vote 827). YES is the pro-environment vote. That same day, the House passed H.R. 3221 by a 241-172 vote (House roll call vote 832). YES is the pro-environment vote.

In December 2007, after months of negotiations with the Senate, the House took up an amended version of H.R. 6, the original energy bill. The bill raised automobile mileage standards to 35 miles per gallon—the first such increase in more than 30 years—and maintained a renewable electricity standard of 15 percent. H.R. 6 also provided new incentives and standards for energy efficiency and transferred billions of dollars in tax subsidies for the oil industry toward clean, renewable energy and energy efficiency.

The most sweeping and forward-looking of the various energy bills considered by Congress in 2007, H.R. 6 promised to substantially reduce America's dependence on oil, cut global warming pollution and generate economic growth.

On December 6, 2007 the House voted to pass H.R. 6 by a 235-181 vote (House roll call vote 1140). YES is the pro-environment vote. Due to opposition from a minority of senators, both the renewable electricity standard and the clean energy tax package were subsequently stripped from the bill. The House and Senate then passed the scaled-back bill, which was signed into law December 19, 2007.






5. LIQUID COAL

Liquid coal produces almost twice as much global warming pollution as conventional gasoline. Moreover, the large-scale deployment of liquid coal would exacerbate the impacts of coal mining on communities and ecosystems, including polluted air and water and devastated landscapes.

During House consideration of H.R. 547, a bill to accelerate production of ethanol and other biofuels, Representative John Shimkus (R-IL) introduced a motion to replace the term “biofuel” with “alternative fuel” and to redefine alternative fuels to include coal-derived liquids.

On February 8, 2007, the House rejected the motion by a 200-207 vote (House roll call vote 91). NO is the pro-environment vote. The House then approved H.R. 545.



6. GLOBAL WARMING AND NATIONAL SECURITY

As a blue-ribbon panel of retired generals and admirals recently acknowledged, global warming is likely to have major implications for national security. Shifting precipitation patterns and drought, for instance, may increase famine and competition for fresh water, while rising sea levels and increased hurricane intensity could create large numbers of refugees.

H.R. 2082, the Intelligence authorization bill, called for a National Intelligence Estimate on the security implications of climate change. The estimate would examine the political, social, economic and agricultural consequences of global warming over the next three decades. Representative Peter Hoekstra (R-MI) offered an amendment to strike this provision from the bill. On May 11, 2007, the House rejected the amendment by a 185-230 vote (House roll call vote 337). NO is the pro-environment vote.



7. REDUCING GLOBAL WARMING

Conservationists have long asserted that the pollution reductions necessary to curb global warming will require more than voluntary initiatives. H.R. 2643, the Interior-Environment appropriations bill, included a nonbinding Sense of the Congress resolution, sponsored by Representative Norm Dicks (D-WA), that endorses mandatory limits on global warming pollution. Representative Joe Barton (R-TX) offered a motion to strike the resolution from the bill. On June 26, 2007, the House rejected the motion by a 153-274 vote (House roll call vote 555). NO is the pro-environment vote. This marked the first time that the House had gone on record endorsing mandatory global warming pollution limits.



8. ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION CORRIDORS

Traditionally, state agencies and regulators have had jurisdiction over the siting and construction of new electric transmission lines. However, the Energy Policy Act of 2005 allows the Energy Department to declare certain lands “national interest electric transmission corridors” (NIETC). In these corridors, utilities can arbitrarily overturn state protections and condemn property for transmission lines without looking for alternative sites that pose less harm to local citizens.

Because federal natural resources within these corridors are not protected, the new transmission lines could jeopardize national parks, wilderness areas and Wild and Scenic Rivers. State parks, Civil War battlefields, and other historic sites could also be trampled.

The Energy Department has now proposed creating two huge energy transmission corridors across 11 states and more than 210 counties inhabited by nearly 75 million Americans. During consideration of H.R. 2641, the Energy and Water appropriations bill, Representative Maurice Hinchey (D-NY) offered an amendment to delay the corridors’ final designations by one year to allow further consultation with affected local officials and citizens. On June 20, 2007, the House defeated the amendment by a 174-257 vote (House roll call vote 526). YES is the pro-environment vote.

9. CLEAN AIR

The Clean Air Act requires many of the largest emitters of toxic air pollutants like arsenic and mercury to reduce their emissions by the maximum degree possible. In January 2007, the EPA proposed a rule change that would allow polluters to sidestep the requirement if they release less than 10 tons per year of a single pollutant or less than 25 tons per year of combined pollutants. Environmentalists and some EPA regional staff criticized the proposed rule, saying it would enable oil refineries, large waste incinerators, power plants and others to significantly increase their toxic emissions, placing children and families in neighboring communities at risk.

During consideration of H.R. 2643, the Interior-Environment appropriations bill, Representative Eddie Bernice Johnson (D-TX) introduced an amendment to deny funds for implementing the proposed rule change. On June 26, 2007, the House approved the amendment by a 252-178 vote (House roll call vote 556). YES is the pro-environment vote.

10. OFFSHORE DRILLING

In 1981, Congress acted to protect America's shores, beaches, and marine ecosystems by adopting a moratorium on oil and gas development in coastal waters. Since then, Congress has annually reaffirmed that moratorium.

During House consideration of H.R. 2643, the Interior-Environment appropriations bill, Representative John Peterson (R-PA) offered an amendment to lift the moratorium for natural gas leasing and development. The Peterson amendment would have allowed the Interior Department to issue new leases within 25 miles of U.S. coastline. On June 26, 2007, the House rejected the amendment by a 196-233 vote (House roll call vote 552). NO is the pro-environment vote.

11. OIL SHALE


Under a 2005 law, the Bureau of Land Management was forced to expedite a full-scale commercial leasing program for oil shale on Western public lands. Conservationists argued that the law doesn't allow the agency to thoroughly consider the program's impacts on natural resources or to find suitable alternatives.

During consideration of H.R. 2643, the Interior-Environment appropriations bill, Representative Mark Udall (D-CO) introduced an amendment to delay the program's implementation by a year to better assess its environmental and economic impacts. The amendment was adopted by a 216-210 vote (House roll call vote 577) on June 27, 2007. YES is the pro-environment vote. While this appropriations bill was not enacted as a free-standing bill, the Udall provision was carried over into the fiscal year 2008 Omnibus appropriations legislation and was signed into law.

12. TONGASS LOGGING ROADS

At 17 million acres, the Tongass National Forest of southeast Alaska is the world's largest remaining old-growth temperate rainforest. Centuries-old trees provide critical habitat for wolves, grizzly bears, wild salmon and bald eagles. Over the last 45 years, however, the timber industry has cleared more than 1 million acres of old-growth trees from the forest and carved out an estimated 5,000 miles of logging roads. Despite all this activity, the Forest Service has continually lost money on the Tongass logging program, forcing taxpayers to provide subsidies on the order of \$40 million a year since 1982. Nevertheless, the Bush administration has worked to open more of the Tongass to logging.

During consideration of H.R. 2643, the Interior-Environment appropriations bill, Representatives Rob Andrews (D-NJ) and Steve Chabot (R-OH) introduced an amendment to end taxpayer subsidies for new commercial logging roads in the Tongass. On June 26, 2007, the House approved the amendment by a 283-145 vote (House roll call vote 563). YES is the pro-environment vote. The repeal of Tongass subsidies was left out of the final Omnibus appropriations bill.





13. MEXICAN WOLF RECOVERY

Mexican wolves were first listed as an endangered species in 1976, after decades of human conflict had extirpated them from their native habitat in the southwestern United States. After years of captive breeding, the wolves were reintroduced into the wilds of Arizona and New Mexico in 1998. Since then, nearly 100 captive-bred wolves have been released, and the species' restoration has become one of the great conservation success stories.

During House consideration of H.R. 2643, the Interior-Environment appropriations bill, Representative Steve Pearce (R-NM) offered an amendment that would have eliminated funding for the Mexico wolf recovery program. On June 26, 2007, the Pearce amendment failed by a vote of 172-258 (House roll call vote 558). NO is the pro-environment vote.



14. ENVIRONMENTAL FUNDING

The Interior-Environment appropriations bill allocates vital funding for programs that safeguard our communities and protect our public lands, wildlife, air and water. H.R. 2643, the fiscal year 2008 bill, provided an increase of nearly \$2 billion over the president's budget request—a sizeable down payment that would begin to restore environment and conservation agencies and programs starved by years of underfunding. This increase was made possible by the prioritization of environmental and natural resource funding in the overall budget blueprint, the fiscal year 2008 House budget resolution.

Among its other achievements, the bill provided record funding increases for national parks and wildlife refuges; boosted funding for land acquisition, diesel emissions reduction, state and tribal wildlife grants and the Clean Water State Revolving Fund; and established a ground-breaking Commission on Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation.

On June 27, 2007, the House approved H.R. 2643 by a vote of 272-155 (House roll call vote 579). YES is the pro-environment vote. The version of the bill signed into law increased funding by more than \$1 billion over the President's initial budget request.



15. CLEAN WATER

An estimated 850 billion gallons of untreated or partially treated sewage are discharged annually from our sewer systems. These overflows contaminate water supplies with sewage, pathogens, solids, debris and toxic pollutants. By 2016, the EPA estimates our nation's wastewater treatment plants may discharge pollutants at levels similar to those that existed in the mid-1970s, only a few years after the enactment of the Clean Water Act. By 2025, without significant new treatment capacity, pollution could exceed 1968 levels, the highest ever recorded.

H.R. 720, the Water Quality Financing Act, authorizes \$14 billion for a new revolving fund to support states' water infrastructure projects. It also provides an additional \$2 billion for three existing clean-water programs at the EPA. On March 9, 2007, the House voted 303-108 to pass the bill (House roll call vote 135). YES is the pro-environment vote.



16 & 17. HARDROCK MINING

On nearly 400 million acres of public land, hardrock mining is still governed by policies set down more than a century ago. Under the 1872 Mining Law, public lands are sold to miners for \$5 or less per acre, and mining companies don't need to pay for the gold, silver, copper, uranium and other hardrock minerals they take. The environmental consequences have been dire. Mining is now our nation's major source of toxic releases and has contaminated more than 40 percent of the headwaters of Western watersheds.

H.R. 2262, the Hardrock Mining and Reclamation Act, made important changes in federal policies: requiring new and existing mining operations to pay royalties for minerals taken from

public lands; placing certain areas, like the roadless areas of national forests, off limits to new claim-staking; setting out new environmental performance and reclamation standards; and using royalty monies to clean up previously abandoned mines.

Representative Steve Pearce (R-NM) introduced an amendment that would have prevented regulators from denying permits to mines that would cause irreparable harm to significant resources. On November 1, 2007, the Pearce amendment was rejected by a 173-244 vote (House roll call vote 1030). NO is the pro-environment vote. On the same day, the House voted to pass H.R. 2262 by a 244-166 vote (House roll call vote 1033). YES is the pro-environment vote.

18. POPULATION

By allowing women to plan the size of their families, voluntary family planning programs also help conserve natural resources in areas where expanding human numbers threaten biodiversity and endangered species. Since the mid-1990s, family planning opponents have cut U.S. funding for these programs by arguing, in part, that the money funds abortion. In fact, the use of U.S. foreign assistance to fund abortion has been prohibited since 1973.

H.R. 2754, the State Department-Foreign Operations appropriations bill, contained language allowing overseas organizations, otherwise ineligible for U.S. family planning funding, to continue receiving contraceptives from the U.S. government. Representative Chris Smith (R-NJ) offered an amendment to strike this exemption. On June 21, 2007, the House rejected the Smith amendment by a 205-218 vote (House roll call vote 534). NO is the pro-environment vote. The contraceptive exemption was not included in the Omnibus appropriations bill because of President Bush's veto threat.

19. FARM BILL – SUBSIDY REFORM


Because farmers, ranchers and private forest landowners control over half the U.S. landscape, they have a significant impact on our air and water quality and wildlife habitat. Unfortunately, traditional farm subsidies, which soak up over half of all farm spending, encourage overproduction on environmentally sensitive lands. And roughly two out of three landowners who want to enlist in voluntary conservation programs are turned away because of insufficient program funds.

The farm bill is the largest source of conservation funding in America, and its re-authorization every five years gives Congress a chance to reform U.S. farm policy in ways that enhance our environment. During consideration of the 2007 farm bill, Representatives Ron Kind (D-WI) and Jeff Flake (R-AZ) offered an amendment to make the farm subsidy system more equitable and to boost conservation funding by nearly \$3 billion. On July 26, 2007, the amendment failed by a 309-117 vote (House roll call vote 747). YES is the pro-environment vote.

20. GRASSLANDS PROTECTION

Grasslands provide habitat for hundreds of species, including grassland birds—America's fastest declining group of bird species. Unfortunately, the Grassland Reserve Program, which helps private landowners protect, restore, and enhance grasslands, pasturelands, and rangelands, has the largest backlog of unfunded applicants of any of our agricultural conservation programs. At the same time, the U.S. taxpayer has spent \$3.5 billion for crop insurance, with \$1.8 billion—over 50 percent—going to insurance companies, not farmers in need.

During consideration of H.R. 2419, the farm bill, Representative Jim Cooper (D-TN) offered an amendment to reform the crop insurance industry and channel the resulting savings into grassland conservation. The amendment would have safeguarded an additional 3 million acres of grasslands, roughly triple what has currently been protected. On July 27, 2007, the House rejected the Cooper amendment by a 175-250 vote (House roll call vote 754). YES is the pro-environment vote. At press time, the House and Senate had not yet reconciled their versions of the farm bill.



HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

% 2007			LCV SCORES																			
% 109th Congress																						
% Lifetime																						
1	Oil Subsidies Repeal																					
2	Renewable Electricity Standard																					
3	Clean Energy																					
4	CAFE & Clean Energy																					
5	Liquid Coal																					
6	Global Warming & National Security																					
7	Reducing Global Warming																					
8	Electric Transmission Corridors																					
9	Clean Air																					
10	Offshore Drilling																					
11	Oil Shale																					
12	Tongass Logging Roads																					
13	Mexican Wolf Recovery																					
14	Environmental Funding																					
15	Clean Water																					
16	Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform																					
17	Hardrock Mining Reform																					
18	Population																					
19	Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform																					
20	Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection																					

ALABAMA

1	Bonner	(R)	10	17	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗
2	Everett	(R)	10	3	6	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Rogers, Michael D.	(R)	15	10	10	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Aderholt	(R)	10	0	4	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Cramer	(D)	70	30	39	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✗
6	Bachus, S.	(R)	5	3	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗
7	Davis, A.	(D)	80	53	63	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗

ALASKA

AL	Young, D.	(R)	10	0	9	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
----	-----------	-----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

ARIZONA

1	Renzi	(R)	10	3	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Franks, T.	(R)	5	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
3	Shadegg	(R)	5	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✓
4	Pastor	(D)	90	93	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	
5	Mitchell	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
6	Flake	(R)	15	13	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	
7	Grijalva	(D)	90	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	
8	Giffords	(D)	95		95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	

ARKANSAS

1	Berry	(D)	70	37	39	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
2	Snyder	(D)	85	83	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
3	Boozman	(R)	10	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Ross	(D)	60	40	45	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗

CALIFORNIA

1	Thompson, M.	(D)	90	93	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
2	Herger	(R)	0	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Lungren	(R)	5	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
4	Doolittle	(R)	5	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY ✓ = Pro-environment action ✗ = Anti-environment action ① = Ineligible to vote ? = Absence (counts as negative)			LCV SCORES																						
			% 2007	% 109th Congress																					
			% Lifetime	① Oil Subsidies Repeal	② Renewable Electricity Standard	③ Clean Energy	④ CAFE & Clean Energy	⑤ Liquid Coal	⑥ Global Warming & National Security	⑦ Reducing Global Warming	⑧ Electric Transmission Corridors	⑨ Clean Air	⑩ Offshore Drilling	⑪ Oil Shale	⑫ Tongass Logging Roads	⑬ Mexican Wolf Recovery	⑭ Environmental Funding	⑮ Clean Water	⑯ Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	⑰ Hardrock Mining Reform	⑱ Population	⑲ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	⑳ Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection		
5	Matsui, D.	(D)	95	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	
6	Woolsey	(D)	95	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	
7	Miller, George	(D)	95	100	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
8	Pelosi	(D)	NA	97	92	THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HER DISCRETION.																			
9	Lee	(D)	95	93	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	
10	Tauscher	(D)	100	97	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
11	McNerney	(D)	90		90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	
12	Lantos	(D)	80	93	86	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	
13	Stark	(D)	100	100	89	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
14	Eshoo	(D)	90	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
15	Honda	(D)	90	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	
16	Lofgren	(D)	100	97	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
17	Farr	(D)	90	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	
18	Cardoza	(D)	65	60	64	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	?	?	✓	✗	
19	Radanovich	(R)	0	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
20	Costa	(D)	75	53	62	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	
21	Nunes	(R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
22	McCarthy, K.	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
23	Capps	(D)	95	97	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	
24	Gallegly	(R)	15	7	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	
25	McKeon	(R)	10	7	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	
26	Dreier	(R)	15	10	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	
27	Sherman	(D)	95	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
28	Berman	(D)	85	97	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	
29	Schiff	(D)	95	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
30	Waxman	(D)	95	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
31	Becerra	(D)	85	97	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	
32	Solis	(D)	95	97	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	
33	Watson	(D)	90	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY ✓ = Pro-environment action ✗ = Anti-environment action ① = Ineligible to vote ? = Absence (counts as negative)			LCV SCORES																			
			2007																			
			%	% 109th Congress	% Lifetime																	
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
			Oil Subsidies Repeal	Renewable Electricity Standard	Clean Energy	CAFE & Clean Energy	Liquid Coal	Global Warming & National Security	Reducing Global Warming	Electric Transmission Corridors	Clean Air	Offshore Drilling	Oil Shale	Tongass Logging Roads	Mexican Wolf Recovery	Environmental Funding	Clean Water	Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	Hardrock Mining Reform	Population	Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection
34	Roybal-Allard	(D)	85	93	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
35	Waters	(D)	100	97	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
36	Harman	(D)	90	87	80	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
37	Millender-McDonald*	(D)	33	93	85	✓	①	①	①	?	①	①	①	①	①	①	?	①	①	①	①	①
37	Richardson*	(D)	100		100	①	①	①	✓	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	✓	✓	①	①	①
38	Napolitano	(D)	90	93	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
39	Sanchez, Linda	(D)	95	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
40	Royce	(R)	15	20	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
41	Lewis, Jerry	(R)	15	3	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
42	Miller, Gary	(R)	5	0	2	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
43	Baca	(D)	85	63	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
44	Calvert	(R)	0	10	9	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
45	Bono	(R)	30	17	13	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
46	Rohrabacher	(R)	10	17	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
47	Sanchez, Loretta	(D)	90	97	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
48	Campbell	(R)	20	23	21	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
49	Issa	(R)	0	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
50	Bilbray	(R)	25	0	55	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
51	Filner	(D)	90	90	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
52	Hunter	(R)	5	3	9	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	?	?	?
53	Davis, S.	(D)	95	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

COLORADO

1	DeGette	(D)	95	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Udall, M.	(D)	95	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
3	Salazar, J.	(D)	70	67	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
4	Musgrave	(R)	5	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Lamborn	(R)	5		5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
6	Tancredo	(R)	10	10	11	✗	?	?	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
7	Perlmutter	(D)	90		90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗

*Representative Millender-McDonald died on April 22, 2007, and Representative Richardson was sworn in on September 4, 2007.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2007	% 109th Congress	% Lifetime	① Oil Subsidies Repeal	② Renewable Electricity Standard	③ Clean Energy	④ CAFE & Clean Energy	⑤ Liquid Coal	⑥ Global Warming & National Security	⑦ Reducing Global Warming	⑧ Electric Transmission Corridors	⑨ Clean Air	⑩ Offshore Drilling	⑪ Oil Shale	⑫ Tongass Logging Roads	⑬ Mexican Wolf Recovery	⑭ Environmental Funding	⑮ Clean Water	⑯ Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	⑰ Hardrock Mining Reform	⑱ Population	⑲ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	⑳ Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection
--------	------------------	------------	------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	---------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------	---------------------	-------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	---------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------------

CONNECTICUT

1	Larson	(D)	90	67	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	Courtney	(D)	95		95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
3	DeLauro	(D)	85	100	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
4	Shays	(R)	100	83	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Murphy, Chris	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

DELAWARE

AL	Castle	(R)	95	73	65	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
----	--------	-----	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

FLORIDA

1	Miller, J.	(R)	10	13	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Boyd	(D)	65	60	49	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
3	Brown, C.	(D)	85	93	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
4	Crenshaw	(R)	5	10	7	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Brown-Waite	(R)	20	13	11	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	✗
6	Stearns	(R)	15	10	19	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Mica	(R)	5	0	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Keller	(R)	15	17	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
9	Bilirakis	(R)	15		15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Young, B.	(R)	35	33	29	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓
11	Castor	(D)	95		95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
12	Putnam	(R)	5	10	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Buchanan	(R)	25		25	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
14	Mack	(R)	5	20	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Weldon, D.	(R)	5	7	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Mahoney	(D)	75		75	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
17	Meek, K.	(D)	85	90	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
18	Ros-Lehtinen	(R)	30	20	41	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
19	Wexler	(D)	85	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
20	Wasserman Schultz	(D)	90	97	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY ✓ = Pro-environment action ✗ = Anti-environment action ① = Ineligible to vote ? = Absence (counts as negative)			LCV SCORES																						
			% 2007																						
				% 109th Congress	% Lifetime																				
						① Oil Subsidies Repeal	② Renewable Electricity Standard	③ Clean Energy	④ CAFE & Clean Energy	⑤ Liquid Coal	⑥ Global Warming & National Security	⑦ Reducing Global Warming	⑧ Electric Transmission Corridors	⑨ Clean Air	⑩ Offshore Drilling	⑪ Oil Shale	⑫ Tongass Logging Roads	⑬ Mexican Wolf Recovery	⑭ Environmental Funding	⑮ Clean Water	⑯ Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	⑰ Hardrock Mining Reform	⑱ Population	⑲ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	⑳ Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection
21	Diaz-Balart, L.	(R)	20	13	26	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	Klein	(D)	75		75	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
23	Hastings, A.	(D)	90	90	81	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
24	Feeney	(R)	5	13	9	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
25	Diaz-Balart, M.	(R)	15	13	11	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

GEORGIA

1	Kingston	(R)	0	10	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Bishop, S.	(D)	75	37	43	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
3	Westmoreland	(R)	0	53	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Johnson, H.	(D)	95		95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
5	Lewis, John	(D)	95	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
6	Price, T.	(R)	10	3	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
7	Linder	(R)	0	7	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Marshall	(D)	55	0	56	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
9	Deal	(R)	5	0	18	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Broun*	(R)	0		0	①	✗	✗	✗	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	✗	✗	①	✗	✗
11	Gingrey	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
12	Barrow	(D)	65	67	66	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
13	Scott, D.	(D)	80	63	64	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗

HAWAII

1	Abercrombie	(D)	70	70	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
2	Hirono	(D)	90		90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗

IDAHO

1	Sali	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Simpson	(R)	5	3	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗

ILLINOIS

1	Rush	(D)	85	67	79	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
2	Jackson, J.	(D)	95	93	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Lipinski	(D)	90	87	88	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓

* Representative Broun was sworn in July 25, 2007 following the death of Representative Norwood on February 13, 2007.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY ✓ = Pro-environment action ✗ = Anti-environment action ① = Ineligible to vote ? = Absence (counts as negative)			LCV SCORES																			
			% 2007																			
			% 109th Congress	% Lifetime																		
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
			Oil Subsidies Repeal	Renewable Electricity Standard	Clean Energy	CAFE & Clean Energy	Liquid Coal	Global Warming & National Security	Reducing Global Warming	Electric Transmission Corridors	Clean Air	Offshore Drilling	Oil Shale	Tongass Logging Roads	Mexican Wolf Recovery	Environmental Funding	Clean Water	Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	Hardrock Mining Reform	Population	Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection
4	Gutierrez	(D)	80	87	89	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
5	Emanuel	(D)	90	80	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
6	Roskam	(R)	15		15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
7	Davis, D.	(D)	95	83	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Bean	(D)	85	80	82	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Schakowsky	(D)	100	93	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Kirk	(R)	90	53	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Weller	(R)	25	10	18	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	?	?	✗	✗	✗
12	Costello	(D)	75	73	64	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
13	Biggert	(R)	55	27	32	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Hastert*	(R)	11		11	✗	?	?	①	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	?	?
15	Johnson, Timothy	(R)	75	77	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
16	Manzullo	(R)	0	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Hare	(D)	85		85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
18	LaHood	(R)	35	23	26	✓	?	?	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	?
19	Shimkus	(R)	10	0	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

INDIANA

1	Visclosky	(D)	85	80	72	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
2	Donnelly	(D)	85		85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
3	Souder	(R)	5	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Buyer	(R)	5	0	7	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Burton	(R)	5	0	8	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Pence	(R)	5	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
7	Carson, J.	(D)	70	93	85	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✗	✗	✗
8	Ellsworth	(D)	75		75	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Hill, B.	(D)	85		73	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

* Representative Hastert resigned on November 26, 2007

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES		
% 2007	% 109th Congress	
	% Lifetime	
1	Oil Subsidies Repeal	
2	Renewable Electricity Standard	
3	Clean Energy	
4	CAFE & Clean Energy	
5	Liquid Coal	
6	Global Warming & National Security	
7	Reducing Global Warming	
8	Electric Transmission Corridors	
9	Clean Air	
10	Offshore Drilling	
11	Oil Shale	
12	Tongass Logging Roads	
13	Mexican Wolf Recovery	
14	Environmental Funding	
15	Clean Water	
16	Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	
17	Hardrock Mining Reform	
18	Population	
19	Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	
20	Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection	

IOWA

1	Braley	(D)	85		85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Loebsack	(D)	90		90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Boswell	(D)	75	50	57	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Latham	(R)	5	3	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	King, S.	(R)	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

KANSAS

1	Moran, Jerry	(R)	10	3	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Boyd	(D)	70		70	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Moore, D.	(D)	85	83	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Tiahrt	(R)	5	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

KENTUCKY

1	Whitfield	(R)	15	13	13	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Lewis, R.	(R)	0	7	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Yarmuth	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Davis, G.	(R)	0	7	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Rogers, H.	(R)	5	7	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Chandler	(D)	100	87	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

LOUISIANA

1	Jindal	(R)	5	7	6	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Jefferson	(D)	75	50	65	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Melancon	(D)	55	23	36	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	McCrery	(R)	0	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Alexander, R.	(R)	10	0	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Baker	(R)	5	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Boustany	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

MAINE

1	Allen, T.	(D)	100	97	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Michaud	(D)	100	90	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES

% 2007	% 109th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Oil Subsidies Repeal	2 Renewable Electricity Standard	3 Clean Energy	4 CAFE & Clean Energy	5 Liquid Coal	6 Global Warming & National Security	7 Reducing Global Warming	8 Electric Transmission Corridors	9 Clean Air	10 Offshore Drilling	11 Oil Shale	12 Tongass Logging Roads	13 Mexican Wolf Recovery	14 Environmental Funding	15 Clean Water	16 Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	17 Hardrock Mining Reform	18 Population	19 Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	20 Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection
--------	------------------	------------	------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	---------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------	----------------------	--------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	----------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------------

MARYLAND

1	Gilchrest	(R)	60	57	63	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✓	?	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
2	Ruppersberger	(D)	80	83	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
3	Sarbanes	(D)	85		85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
4	Wynn	(D)	100	77	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Hoyer	(D)	90	90	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
6	Bartlett	(R)	45	47	21	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
7	Cummings	(D)	95	90	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
8	Van Hollen	(D)	95	100	99	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓

MASSACHUSETTS

1	Olver	(D)	100	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Neal	(D)	95	93	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
3	McGovern	(D)	100	100	100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Frank, B.	(D)	80	97	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	?	✓	✓	?	?
5	Meehan*	(D)	92	97	94	✓	①	①	①	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	①	①	✓	①	①
5	Tsongas*	(D)	100		100	①	①	①	✓	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	①	✓	✓	①	①	①
6	Tierney	(D)	100	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Markey	(D)	95	100	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Capuano	(D)	95	97	95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Lynch	(D)	90	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
10	Delahunt	(D)	90	83	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗

MICHIGAN

1	Stupak	(D)	70	63	65	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
2	Hoekstra	(R)	5	3	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
3	Ehlers	(R)	70	60	58	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
4	Camp	(R)	0	7	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Kildee	(D)	80	93	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
6	Upton	(R)	35	23	38	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓

* Representative Meehan resigned on July 1, 2007, and Representative Tsongas was sworn in on October 18, 2007.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY ✓ = Pro-environment action ✗ = Anti-environment action ① = Ineligible to vote ? = Absence (counts as negative)			LCV SCORES																						
			% 2007																						
				% 109th Congress																					
				% Lifetime	① Oil Subsidies Repeal	② Renewable Electricity Standard	③ Clean Energy	④ CAFE & Clean Energy	⑤ Liquid Coal	⑥ Global Warming & National Security	⑦ Reducing Global Warming	⑧ Electric Transmission Corridors	⑨ Clean Air	⑩ Offshore Drilling	⑪ Oil Shale	⑫ Tongass Logging Roads	⑬ Mexican Wolf Recovery	⑭ Environmental Funding	⑮ Clean Water	⑯ Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	⑰ Hardrock Mining Reform	⑱ Population	⑲ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	⑳ Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection	
7	Walberg	(R)	5		5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Rogers, Michael J.	(R)	5	7	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
9	Knollenberg	(R)	20	3	8	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	
10	Miller, C.	(R)	25	13	14	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓
11	McCotter	(R)	15	17	11	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Levin, S.	(D)	90	93	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
13	Kilpatrick	(D)	80	90	83	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
14	Conyers	(D)	85	93	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
15	Dingell	(D)	90	93	72	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

MINNESOTA

1	Walz	(D)	85		85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
2	Kline	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Ramstad	(R)	95	70	68	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	McCollum	(D)	95	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
5	Ellison	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Bachmann	(R)	0		0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Peterson, C.	(D)	60	37	40	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
8	Oberstar	(D)	75	77	72	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗

MISSISSIPPI

1	Wicker	(R)	5	0	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Thompson, B.	(D)	85	67	73	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
3	Pickering	(R)	5	7	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
4	Taylor, G.	(D)	80	50	42	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓

MISSOURI

1	Clay	(D)	75	87	84	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
2	Akin	(R)	5	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Carnahan	(D)	90	93	92	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
4	Skelton	(D)	70	53	41	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
5	Cleaver	(D)	85	83	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY			LCV SCORES																						
✓ = Pro-environment action																									
✗ = Anti-environment action																									
① = Ineligible to vote																									
? = Absence (counts as negative)																									
			% 2007	% 109th Congress		% Lifetime																			
						①	②	③	④	⑤	⑥	⑦	⑧	⑨	⑩	⑪	⑫	⑬	⑭	⑮	⑯	⑰	⑱	⑲	⑳
						Oil Subsidies Repeal	Renewable Electricity Standard	Clean Energy	CAFE & Clean Energy	Liquid Coal	Global Warming & National Security	Reducing Global Warming	Electric Transmission Corridors	Clean Air	Offshore Drilling	Oil Shale	Tongass Logging Roads	Mexican Wolf Recovery	Environmental Funding	Clean Water	Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	Hardrock Mining Reform	Population	Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection
6	Graves	(R)	5	3	4	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Blunt	(R)	0	3	2	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Emerson	(R)	15	10	6	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Hulshof	(R)	5	3	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

MONTANA

AL	Rehberg	(R)	10	7	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
----	---------	-----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

NEBRASKA

1	Fortenberry	(R)	45	10	24	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
2	Terry	(R)	15	3	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
3	Smith, Adrian	(R)	5		5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

NEVADA

1	Berkley	(D)	75	100	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
2	Heller	(R)	15		15	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
3	Porter	(R)	30	3	12	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗

NEW HAMPSHIRE

1	Shea-Porter	(D)	90		90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
2	Hodes	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

NEW JERSEY

1	Andrews	(D)	100	90	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	LoBiondo	(R)	90	80	74	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
3	Saxton	(R)	75	77	62	✓	?	?	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
4	Smith, C.	(R)	85	83	73	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
5	Garrett	(R)	20	17	16	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
6	Pallone	(D)	100	100	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Ferguson	(R)	85	43	52	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
8	Pascrell	(D)	100	93	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Rothman	(D)	90	97	93	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
10	Payne	(D)	75	87	87	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	?	?	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Frelinghuysen	(R)	70	47	55	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY			LCV SCORES																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
✓	=	Pro-environment action																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													</

NEW MEXICO

[illegible]

NEW YORK

[illegible]

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY ✓ = Pro-environment action ✗ = Anti-environment action ① = Ineligible to vote ? = Absence (counts as negative)			LCV SCORES																							
			% 2007	109th Congress			Lifetime																			
				% 2007	% 109th Congress	% Lifetime	①	②	③	④	⑤	⑥	⑦	⑧	⑨	⑩	⑪	⑫	⑬	⑭	⑮	⑯	⑰	⑱	⑲	⑳
							Oil Subsidies Repeal	Renewable Electricity Standard	Clean Energy	CAFE & Clean Energy	Liquid Coal	Global Warming & National Security	Reducing Global Warming	Electric Transmission Corridors	Clean Air	Offshore Drilling	Oil Shale	Tongass Logging Roads	Mexican Wolf Recovery	Environmental Funding	Clean Water	Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	Hardrock Mining Reform	Population	Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection
23	McHugh	(R)	45	20	23	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
24	Arcuri	(D)	90		90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗		
25	Walsh	(R)	45	37	40	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗		
26	Reynolds	(R)	5	10	12	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗		
27	Higgins	(D)	95	93	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓		
28	Slaughter	(D)	95	90	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓		
29	Kuhl	(R)	30	17	22	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓		

NORTH CAROLINA

1	Butterfield	(D)	70	83	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✗	✗
2	Etheridge	(D)	85	83	76	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	
3	Jones, W.	(R)	40	50	22	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	
4	Price, D.	(D)	95	93	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	
5	Foxx	(R)	10	10	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
6	Coble	(R)	5	7	14	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
7	McIntyre	(D)	75	67	56	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
8	Hayes	(R)	15	7	9	✓	?	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
9	Myrick	(R)	10	3	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	
10	McHenry	(R)	0	7	4	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	
11	Shuler	(D)	75		75	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✗	✗	✗	
12	Watt	(D)	95	93	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
13	Miller, B.	(D)	90	93	94	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	

NORTH DAKOTA

AL	Pomeroy	(D)	85	60	58	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
----	---------	-----	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

OHIO

1	Chabot	(R)	20	10	23	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
2	Schmidt	(R)	10	6	8	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Turner	(R)	10	7	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Jordan	(R)	5		5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Gillmor*	(R)	41	10	22	✗	✗	✓	①	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	①	①	✗	✗	✓

* Representative Gillmor died on September 5, 2007, and Representative Latta was sworn in on December 13, 2007.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY ✓ = Pro-environment action ✗ = Anti-environment action ① = Ineligible to vote ? = Absence (counts as negative)			LCV SCORES																				
			% 2007																				
				% 109th Congress																			
				% Lifetime	① Oil Subsidies Repeal	② Renewable Electricity Standard	③ Clean Energy	④ CAFE & Clean Energy	⑤ Liquid Coal	⑥ Global Warming & National Security	⑦ Reducing Global Warming	⑧ Electric Transmission Corridors	⑨ Clean Air	⑩ Offshore Drilling	⑪ Oil Shale	⑫ Tongass Logging Roads	⑬ Mexican Wolf Recovery	⑭ Environmental Funding	⑮ Clean Water	⑯ Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	⑰ Hardrock Mining Reform	⑱ Population	⑲ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform
6	Wilson, C.	(D)	60		60	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✗	✗	✗
7	Hobson	(R)	20	3	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
8	Boehner	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Kaptur	(D)	80	93	76	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✗	✓
10	Kucinich	(D)	80	100	91	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✗	?
11	Tubbs Jones, S.	(D)	75	87	81	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
12	Tiberi	(R)	15	7	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
13	Sutton	(D)	85		85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
14	LaTourette	(R)	40	20	26	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Pryce, D.	(R)	25	13	17	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓
16	Regula	(R)	20	3	29	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
17	Ryan, T.	(D)	80	90	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
18	Space	(D)	60		60	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗

OKLAHOMA

[illegible]**OREGON**[illegible]**PENNSYLVANIA**

1	Brady, R.	(D)	85	73	73	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Fattah	(D)	90	93	86	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	English	(R)	35	13	27	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY			LCV SCORES																						
			% 2007	% 109th Congress			% Lifetime																		
						① Oil Subsidies Repeal	② Renewable Electricity Standard	③ Clean Energy	④ CAFE & Clean Energy	⑤ Liquid Coal	⑥ Global Warming & National Security	⑦ Reducing Global Warming	⑧ Electric Transmission Corridors	⑨ Clean Air	⑩ Offshore Drilling	⑪ Oil Shale	⑫ Tongass Logging Roads	⑬ Mexican Wolf Recovery	⑭ Environmental Funding	⑮ Clean Water	⑯ Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	⑰ Hardrock Mining Reform	⑱ Population	⑲ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	⑳ Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection
4	Altmire	(D)	80		80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
5	Peterson, J.	(R)	10	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Gerlach	(R)	70	60	59	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Sestak	(D)	100		100	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Murphy, P.	(D)	90		90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
9	Shuster	(R)	5	3	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Carney	(D)	80		80	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
11	Kanjorski	(D)	70	67	69	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
12	Murtha	(D)	75	57	44	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
13	Schwartz	(D)	95	97	96	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	Doyle	(D)	80	67	60	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
15	Dent	(R)	55	7	26	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
16	Pitts	(R)	10	3	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
17	Holden	(D)	70	50	56	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
18	Murphy, T.	(R)	20	7	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
19	Platts	(R)	55	37	33	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗

RHODE ISLAND

1	Kennedy, P.	(D)	90	73	91	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✗
2	Langevin	(D)	95	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓

SOUTH CAROLINA

1	Brown, H.	(R)	5	7	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Wilson, J.	(R)	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Barrett	(R)	5	7	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Inglis	(R)	25	33	30	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Spratt	(D)	85	90	77	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
6	Clyburn	(D)	80	87	82	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗

SOUTH DAKOTA

AL	Herseth Sandlin	(D)	70	53	59	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗
----	-----------------	-----	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

LCV SCORES																													
2007	100th Congress	Lifetime		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20						
%	%	%		Oil Subsidies Repeal	Renewable Electricity Standard	Clean Energy	CAFE & Clean Energy	Liquid Coal	Global Warming & National Security	Reducing Global Warming	Electric Transmission Corridors	Clean Air	Offshore Drilling	Oil Shale	Tongass Logging Roads	Mexican Wolf Recovery	Environmental Funding	Clean Water	Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	Hardrock Mining Reform	Population	Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection						

TENNESSEE

1	Davis, David	(R)	10		10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Duncan	(R)	10	3	14	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Wamp	(R)	10	7	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Davis, L.	(D)	75	37	54	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
5	Cooper	(D)	80	80	74	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Gordon	(D)	80	67	64	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
7	Blackburn	(R)	5	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Tanner	(D)	70	47	41	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
9	Cohen	(D)	95		95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

TEXAS

1	Gohmert	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Poe	(R)	5	10	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Johnson, Sam	(R)	5	0	7	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Hall, R.	(R)	0	0	15	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Hensarling	(R)	15	7	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Barton	(R)	0	3	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Culberson	(R)	0	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Brady, K.	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Green, A.	(D)	85	60	70	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	McCaul	(R)	10	7	8	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
11	Conaway	(R)	0	0	0	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
12	Granger	(R)	0	0	4	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
13	Thornberry	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
14	Paul	(R)	15	37	29	✗	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	?	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Hinojosa	(D)	55	37	57	✓	?	?	✓	?	?	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
16	Reyes	(D)	70	37	56	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
17	Edwards	(D)	60	30	39	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
18	Jackson Lee, S.	(D)	90	67	75	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

KEY			LCV SCORES																						
			% 2007	% 109th Congress	% Lifetime	① Oil Subsidies Repeal	② Renewable Electricity Standard	③ Clean Energy	④ CAFE & Clean Energy	⑤ Liquid Coal	⑥ Global Warming & National Security	⑦ Reducing Global Warming	⑧ Electric Transmission Corridors	⑨ Clean Air	⑩ Offshore Drilling	⑪ Oil Shale	⑫ Tongass Logging Roads	⑬ Mexican Wolf Recovery	⑭ Environmental Funding	⑮ Clean Water	⑯ Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	⑰ Hardrock Mining Reform	⑱ Population	⑲ Farm Bill—Subsidy Reform	⑳ Farm Bill—Grasslands Protection
19	Neugebauer	(R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
20	Gonzalez	(D)	85	63	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
21	Smith, L.	(R)	0	7	7	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
22	Lampson	(D)	50		66	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
23	Rodriguez	(D)	80		71	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
24	Marchant	(R)	5	3	4	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
25	Doggett	(D)	90	97	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
26	Burgess	(R)	0	3	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗
27	Ortiz	(D)	35	33	39	✓	✗	✓	?	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✗
28	Cuellar	(D)	65	23	40	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
29	Green, G.	(D)	65	47	61	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
30	Johnson, E.B.	(D)	80	83	78	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
31	Carter	(R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
32	Sessions, P.	(R)	0	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

UTAH

1	Bishop, R.	(R)	0	0	1	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
2	Matheson	(D)	55	57	59	✓	✗	✗	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
3	Cannon	(R)	10	0	6	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓

VERMONT

AL	Welch	(D)	95		95	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
----	-------	-----	----	--	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

VIRGINIA

1	Davis, Jo Ann*	(R)	0	23	12	✗	?	?	①	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	①	①	?	?	?
2	Drake	(R)	10	10	10	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Scott, B.	(D)	90	90	83	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
4	Forbes	(R)	5	7	5	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Goode	(R)	0	17	12	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Goodlatte	(R)	0	0	9	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Cantor	(R)	5	7	3	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
8	Moran, James	(D)	95	90	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

* Representative Davis died on October 6, 2007.

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

- ✓ = Pro-environment action
- ✗ = Anti-environment action
- ① = Ineligible to vote
- ? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																			
			2007	100th Congress	Lifetime	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
			%	%	%	Oil Subsidies Repeal	Renewable Electricity Standard	Clean Energy	CAFE & Clean Energy	Liquid Coal	Global Warming & National Security	Reducing Global Warming	Electric Transmission Corridors	Clean Air	Offshore Drilling	Oil Shale	Tongass Logging Roads	Mexican Wolf Recovery	Environmental Funding	Clean Water	Weakening Hardrock Mining Reform	Hardrock Mining Reform
9	Boucher	(D)	75	60	67	✓	✗	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
10	Wolf	(R)	60	27	28	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗
11	Davis, T.	(R)	40	40	40	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	?	?

WASHINGTON

1	Inslee	(D)	95	100	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Larsen	(D)	80	87	84	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
3	Baird	(D)	95	90	91	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Hastings, D.	(R)	5	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
5	McMorris Rodgers	(R)	5	0	2	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
6	Dicks	(D)	95	83	67	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
7	McDermott	(D)	95	97	89	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	Reichert	(R)	85	43	60	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
9	Smith, A.	(D)	90	100	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

WEST VIRGINIA

1	Mollohan	(D)	55	57	41	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
2	Capito	(R)	20	20	26	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
3	Rahall	(D)	75	87	66	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓

WISCONSIN

1	Ryan, P.	(R)	25	13	27	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
2	Baldwin	(D)	100	100	97	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Kind	(D)	95	97	90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
4	Moore, G.	(D)	95	100	98	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
5	Sensenbrenner	(R)	20	20	39	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
6	Petri	(R)	50	37	51	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓
7	Obey	(D)	90	100	85	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
8	Kagen	(D)	90		90	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗

WYOMING

AL	Cubin	(R)	0	3	3	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?
----	-------	-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

SENATE LCV SCORES FOR 2007

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Akaka, Daniel (D) HI	87	Domenici, Pete (R) NM	20	McConnell, Mitch (R) KY	7
Alexander Lamar (R) TN	33	Dorgan, Byron (D) ND	87	Menendez, Robert (D) NJ	93
Allard, Wayne (R) CO	20	Durbin, Richard (D) IL	93	Mikulski, Barbara (D) MD	93
Barrasso, John (R) WY	33	Ensign, John (R) NV	33	Murkowski, Lisa (R) AK	40
Baucus, Max (D) MT	67	Enzi, Michael (R) WY	13	Murray, Patty (D) WA	87
Bayh, Evan (D) IN	73	Feingold, Russ (D) WI	93	Nelson, Ben (D) NE	67
Bennett, Robert (R) UT	7	Feinstein, Dianne (D) CA	87	Nelson, Bill (D) FL	100
Biden, Joseph (D) DE	67	Graham, Lindsey (R) SC	7	Obama, Barack (D) IL	67
Bingaman, Jeff (D) NM	93	Grassley, Charles (R) IA	33	Pryor, Mark (D) AR	60
Bond, Christopher (R) MO	0	Gregg, Judd (R) NH	60	Reed, Jack (D) RI	93
Boxer, Barbara (D) CA	80	Hagel, Chuck (R) NE	20	Reid, Harry (D) NV	87
Brown, Sherrod (D) OH	87	Harkin, Tom (D) IA	87	Roberts, Pat (R) KS	0
Brownback, Sam (R) KS	7	Hatch, Orrin (R) UT	13	Rockefeller, John (D) WV	73
Bunning, Jim (R) KY	7	Hutchison, Kay Bailey (R) TX	7	Salazar, Ken (D) CO	73
Burr, Richard (R) NC	7	Inhofe, James (R) OK	0	Sanders, Bernard (I) VT	93
Byrd, Robert (D) WV	73	Inouye, Daniel (D) HI	80	Schumer, Charles (D) NY	93
Cantwell, Maria (D) WA	87	Isakson, Johnny (R) GA	7	Sessions, Jeff (R) AL	13
Cardin, Benjamin (D) MD	93	Johnson, Tim (D) SD	33	Shelby, Richard (R) AL	7
Carper, Thomas (D) DE	93	Kennedy, Edward (D) MA	93	Smith, Gordon (R) OR	73
Casey, Bob (D) PA	100	Kerry, John (D) MA	93	Snowe, Olympia J. (R) ME	80
Chambliss, Saxby (R) GA	7	Klobuchar, Amy (D) MN	87	Specter, Arlen (R) PA	60
Clinton, Hillary (D) NY	73	Kohl, Herbert (D) WI	93	Stabenow, Debbie (D) MI	67
Coburn, Tom (R) OK	7	Kyl, Jon (R) AZ	13	Stevens, Ted (R) AK	27
Cochran, Thad (R) MS	0	Landrieu, Mary (D) LA	53	Sununu, John (R) NH	53
Coleman, Norm (R) MN	33	Lautenberg, Frank (D) NJ	93	Tester, Jon (D) MT	80
Collins, Susan (R) ME	100	Leahy, Patrick (D) VT	80	Thomas, Craig (R) WY	0
Conrad, Kent (D) ND	73	Levin, Carl (D) MI	67	Thune, John (R) SD	33
Corker, Bob (R) TN	27	Lieberman, Joseph (I) CT	93	Vitter, David (R) LA	0
Cornyn, John (R) TX	0	Lincoln, Blanche (D) AR	67	Voinovich, George (R) OH	20
Craig, Larry (R) ID	13	Lott, Trent (R) MS	0	Warner, John (R) VA	47
Crapo, Michael (R) ID	13	Lugar, Richard (R) IN	53	Webb, James (D) VA	87
DeMint, Jim (R) SC	7	Martinez, Mel (R) FL	13	Whitehouse, Sheldon (D) RI	93
Dodd, Christopher (D) CT	60	McCain, John (R) AZ	0	Wyden, Ron (D) OR	87
Dole, Elizabeth (R) NC	7	McCaskill, Claire (D) MO	73		

HOUSE LCV SCORES FOR 2007

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Abercrombie, Neil (D) HI-1	70	Baird, Brian (D) WA-3	95	Biggert, Judy (R) IL-13	55
Ackerman, Gary (D) NY-5	85	Baker, Richard (R) LA-6	5	Bilbray, Brian (R) CA-50	25
Aderholt, Robert (R) AL-4	10	Baldwin, Tammy (D) WI-2	100	Bilirakis, Gus (R) FL-9	15
Akin, Todd (R) MO-2	5	Barrett, Gresham (R) SC-3	5	Bishop, Rob (R) UT-1	0
Alexander, Rodney (R) LA-5	10	Barrow, John (D) GA-12	65	Bishop, Sanford (R) GA-2	75
Allen, Thomas (D) ME-1	100	Bartlett, Roscoe (R) MD-6	45	Bishop, Tim (D) NY-1	100
Altmire, Jason (D) PA-4	80	Barton, Joe (R) TX-6	0	Blackburn, Marsha (R) TN-7	5
Andrews, Robert (D) NJ-1	100	Bean, Melissa (D) IL-8	85	Blumenauer, Earl (D) OR-3	100
Arcuri, Michael (D) NY-24	90	Becerra, Xavier (D) CA-31	85	Blunt, Roy (R) MO-7	0
Baca, Joe (D) CA-43	85	Berkley, Shelley (D) NV-1	75	Boehner, John (R) OH-8	0
Bachmann, Michele (R) MN-6	0	Berman, Howard (D) CA-28	85	Bonner, Jo (R) AL-1	10
Bachus, Spencer (R) AL-6	5	Berry, Marion (D) AR-1	70	Bono, Mary (R) CA-45	30

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Boozman, John (R) AR-3	10	Cuellar, Henry (D) TX-28	65	Gallegly, Elton (R) CA-24	15
Boren, Dan (D) OK-2	15	Culberson, John (R) TX-7	0	Garrett, Scott (R) NJ-5	20
Boswell, Leonard (D) IA-3	75	Cummings, Elijah (D) MD-7	95	Gerlach, James (R) PA-6	70
Boucher, Rick (D) VA-9	75	Davis, Artur (D) AL-7	80	Giffords, Gabrielle (D) AZ-8	95
Boustany, Charles (R) LA-7	0	Davis, Danny (D) IL-7	95	Gilchrest, Wayne (R) MD-1	60
Boyd, F. Allen (D) FL-2	65	Davis, David (R) TN-1	10	Gillibrand, Kristen (D) NY-20	95
Boyda, Nancy (D) KS-2	70	Davis, Geoff (R) KY-4	0	Gillmor, Paul (R) OH-5	41
Brady, Kevin (D) TX-8	0	Davis, Jo Ann (R) VA-1	0	Gingrey, Phil (R) GA-11	0
Brady, Robert (R) PA-1	85	Davis, Lincoln (D) TN-4	75	Gohmert, Louis (R) TX-1	0
Braley, Bruce (D) IA-1	85	Davis, Susan (D) CA-53	95	Gonzalez, Charles (D) TX-20	85
Broun, Paul (R) GA-10	0	Davis, Thomas (R) VA-11	40	Goode, Virgil (R) VA-5	0
Brown, Corrine (D) FL-3	85	Deal, Nathan (R) GA-9	5	Goodlatte, Bob (R) VA-6	0
Brown, Henry (R) SC-1	5	DeFazio, Peter (D) OR-4	95	Gordon, Bart (D) TN-6	80
Brown-Waite, Ginny (R) FL-5	20	DeGette, Diana (D) CO-1	95	Granger, Kay (R) TX-12	0
Buchanan, Vernon (R) FL-13	25	Delahunt, William (D) MA-10	90	Graves, Sam (R) MO-6	5
Burgess, Michael (R) TX-26	0	DeLauro, Rosa (D) CT-3	85	Green, Al (D) TX-9	85
Burton, Dan (R) IN-5	5	Dent, Charles (R) PA-15	55	Green, Gene (D) TX-29	65
Butterfield, G.K. (D) NC-1	70	Diaz-Balart, Lincoln (R) FL-21	20	Grijalva, Raúl (D) AZ-7	90
Buyer, Steve (R) IN-4	5	Diaz-Balart, Mario (R) FL-25	15	Gutierrez, Luis (D) IL-4	80
Calvert, Ken (R) CA-44	0	Dicks, Norman (D) WA-6	95	Hall, John (D) NY-19	95
Camp, Dave (R) MI-4	0	Dingell, John (D) MI-15	90	Hall, Ralph (R) TX-4	0
Campbell, John (R) CA-48	20	Doggett, Lloyd (D) TX-25	90	Hare, Philip (D) IL-17	85
Cannon, Christopher (R) UT-3	10	Donnelly, Joe (D) IN-2	85	Harman, Jane (D) CA-36	90
Cantor, Eric (R) VA-7	5	Doolittle, John (R) CA-4	5	Hastert, Dennis (R) IL-14	11
Capito, Shelley Moore (R) WV-2	20	Doyle, Mike (D) PA-14	80	Hastings, Alcee (D) FL-23	90
Capps, Lois (D) CA-23	95	Drake, Thelma (R) VA-2	10	Hastings, Richard "Doc" (R) WA-4	5
Capuano, Michael (D) MA-8	95	Dreier, David (R) CA-26	15	Hayes, Robin (R) NC-8	15
Cardoza, Dennis (D) CA-18	65	Duncan, John (R) TN-2	10	Heller, Dean (R) NV-2	15
Carnahan, Russ (D) MO-3	90	Edwards, Chet (D) TX-17	60	Hensarling, Jeb (R) TX-5	15
Carney, Chris (D) PA-10	80	Ehlers, Vernon (R) MI-3	70	Herger, Wally (R) CA-2	0
Carson, Julia (D) IN-7	70	Ellison, Keith (D) MN-5	100	Herseth Sandlin, Stephanie (D) SD-AL	70
Carter, John (R) TX-31	0	Ellsworth, Brad (D) IN-8	75	Higgins, Brian (D) NY-27	95
Castle, Michael (R) DE-AL	95	Emanuel, Rahm (D) IL-5	90	Hill, Baron (D) IN-9	85
Castor, Kathy (D) FL-11	95	Emerson, Jo Ann (R) MO-8	15	Hinchey, Maurice (D) NY-22	95
Chabot, Steve (R) OH-1	20	Engel, Eliot (D) NY-17	85	Hinojosa, Ruben (D) TX-15	55
Chandler, Ben (D) KY-6	100	English, Philip (R) PA-3	35	Hirono, Mazie (D) HI-2	90
Clarke, Yvette (D) NY-11	80	Eshoo, Anna (D) CA-14	90	Hobson, David (R) OH-7	20
Clay, William (D) MO-1	75	Etheridge, Bob (D) NC-2	85	Hodes, Paul (D) NH-2	100
Cleaver, Emanuel (D) MO-5	85	Everett, Terry (R) AL-2	10	Hoekstra, Peter (R) MI-2	5
Clyburn, James (D) SC-6	80	Fallin, Mary (R) OK-5	0	Holden, Tim (D) PA-17	70
Coble, Howard (R) NC-6	5	Farr, Sam (D) CA-17	90	Holt, Rush (D) NJ-12	100
Cohen, Stephen Ira (D) TN-9	95	Fattah, Chaka (D) PA-2	90	Honda, Michael (D) CA-15	90
Cole, Tom (R) OK-4	0	Feeney, Tom (R) FL-24	5	Hooley, Darlene (D) OR-5	85
Conaway, K. Michael (R) TX-11	0	Ferguson, Michael (R) NJ-7	85	Hoyer, Steny (D) MD-5	90
Conyers, John (D) MI-14	85	Filner, Bob (D) CA-51	90	Hulshof, Kenny (R) MO-9	5
Cooper, Jim (D) TN-5	80	Flake, Jeff (R) AZ-6	15	Hunter, Duncan (R) CA-52	5
Costa, Jim (D) CA-20	75	Forbes, Randy (R) VA-4	5	Inglis, Bob (R) SC-4	25
Costello, Jerry (D) IL-12	75	Fortenberry, Jeffrey (R) NE-1	45	Inslee, Jay (D) WA-1	95
Courtney, Joe (D) CT-2	95	Fossella, Vito (R) NY-13	30	Israel, Steve (D) NY-2	100
Cramer, Robert (D) AL-5	70	Foxx, Virginia (R) NC-5	10	Issa, Darrell (R) CA-49	0
Crenshaw, Ander (R) FL-4	5	Frank, Barney (D) MA-4	80	Jackson, Jesse (D) IL-2	95
Crowley, Joseph (D) NY-7	95	Franks, Trent (R) AZ-2	5	Jackson Lee, Sheila (D) TX-18	90
Cubin, Barbara (R) WY-AL	0	Frelinghuysen, Rodney (R) NJ-11	70	Jefferson, William (D) LA-2	75

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Jindal, Bobby (R) LA-1	5	Marshall, Jim (D) GA-8	55	Pastor, Ed (D) AZ-4	90
Johnson, Eddie Bernice (D) TX-30	80	Matheson, Jim (D) UT-2	55	Paul, Ron (R) TX-14	15
Johnson, Hank (D) GA-4	95	Matsui, Doris (D) CA-5	95	Payne, Donald (D) NJ-10	75
Johnson, Sam (R) TX-3	5	McCarthy, Carolyn (D) NY-4	100	Pearce, Steve (R) NM-2	0
Johnson, Timothy (R) IL-15	75	McCarthy, Kevin (R) CA-22	0	Pelosi, Nancy (D) CA-8	NA
Jones, Walter (R) NC-3	40	McCaul, Michael (R) TX-10	10	Pence, Mike (R) IN-6	5
Jordan, Jim (R) OH-4	5	McCollum, Betty (D) MN-4	95	Perlmutter, Ed (D) CO-7	90
Kagen, Steve (D) WI-8	90	McCotter, Thaddeus (R) MI-11	15	Peterson, Collin (D) MN-7	60
Kanjorski, Paul (D) PA-11	70	McCrery, Jim (R) LA-4	0	Peterson, John (R) PA-5	10
Kaptur, Marcy (D) OH-9	80	McDermott, Jim (D) WA-7	95	Petri, Thomas (R) WI-6	50
Keller, Ric (R) FL-8	15	McGovern, James (D) MA-3	100	Pickering, Charles (R) MS-3	5
Kennedy, Patrick (D) RI-1	90	McHenry, Patrick (R) NC-10	0	Pitts, Joseph (R) PA-16	10
Kildee, Dale (D) MI-5	80	McHugh, John (R) NY-23	45	Platts, Todd (R) PA-19	55
Kilpatrick, Carolyn (D) MI-13	80	McIntyre, Mike (D) NC-7	75	Poe, Ted (R) TX-2	5
Kind, Ron (D) WI-3	95	McKeon, Howard "Buck" (R) CA-25	10	Pomeroy, Earl (D) ND-AL	85
King, Peter (R) NY-3	30	McMorris Rodgers, Cathy (R) WA-5	5	Porter, Jon (R) NV-3	30
King, Steve (R) IA-5	0	McNerney, Jerry (D) CA-11	90	Price, David (D) NC-4	95
Kingston, Jack (R) GA-1	0	McNulty, Michael (D) NY-21	95	Price, Tom (R) GA-6	10
Kirk, Mark (R) IL-10	90	Meehan, Marty (D) MA-5	92	Pryce, Deborah (R) OH-15	25
Klein, Ron (D) FL-22	75	Meek, Kendrick (D) FL-17	85	Putnam, Adam (R) FL-12	5
Kline, John (R) MN-2	0	Meeks, Gregory (D) NY-6	90	Radanovich, George (R) CA-19	0
Knollenberg, Joseph (R) MI-9	20	Melancon, Charlie (D) LA-3	55	Rahall, Nick (D) WV-3	75
Kucinich, Dennis (D) OH-10	80	Mica, John (R) FL-7	5	Ramstad, Jim (R) MN-3	95
Kuhl, John "Randy" (R) NY-29	30	Michaud, Mike (D) ME-2	100	Rangel, Charles (D) NY-15	90
LaHood, Ray (R) IL-18	35	Millender-McDonald, Juanita (D) CA-37	33	Regula, Ralph (R) OH-16	20
Lamborn, Douglas (R) CO-5	5	Miller, Brad (D) NC-13	90	Rehberg, Dennis (R) MT-AL	10
Lampson, Nick (D) TX-22	50	Miller, Candice (R) MI-10	25	Reichert, Dave (R) WA-8	85
Langevin, James (D) RI-2	95	Miller, Gary (R) CA-42	5	Renzi, Rick (R) AZ-1	10
Lantos, Tom (D) CA-12	80	Miller, George (D) CA-7	95	Reyes, Silvestre (D) TX-16	70
Larsen, Rick (D) WA-2	80	Miller, Jeff (R) FL-1	10	Reynolds, Thomas (R) NY-26	5
Larson, John (D) CT-1	90	Mitchell, Harry (D) AZ-5	100	Richardson, Laura (D) CA-37	100
Latham, Tom (R) IA-4	5	Mollohan, Alan (D) WV-1	55	Rodriguez, Ciro (D) TX-23	80
LaTourette, Steven (R) OH-14	40	Moore, Dennis (D) KS-3	85	Rogers, Harold (R) KY-5	5
Lee, Barbara (D) CA-9	95	Moore, Gwen (D) WI-4	95	Rogers, Michael D. (R) AL-3	15
Levin, Sander (D) MI-12	90	Moran, Jim (D) VA-8	95	Rogers, Michael J. (R) MI-8	5
Lewis, Jerry (R) CA-41	15	Moran, Jerry (R) KS-1	10	Rohrabacher, Dana (R) CA-46	10
Lewis, John (D) GA-5	95	Murphy, Chris (D) CT-5	100	Roskam, Peter (R) IL-6	15
Lewis, Ron (R) KY-2	0	Murphy, Patrick (D) PA-8	90	Ros-Lehtinen, Ileana (R) FL-18	30
Linder, John (R) GA-7	0	Murphy, Tim (R) PA-18	20	Ross, Mike (D) AR-4	60
Lipinski, Daniel (D) IL-3	90	Murtha, John (D) PA-12	75	Rothman, Steven R. (D) NJ-9	90
LoBiondo, Frank (R) NJ-2	90	Musgrave, Marilyn (R) CO-4	5	Roybal-Allard, Lucille (D) CA-34	85
Loeb sack, David (D) IA-2	90	Myrick, Sue (R) NC-9	10	Royce, Ed (R) CA-40	15
Lofgren, Zoe (D) CA-16	100	Nadler, Jerrold (D) NY-8	95	Ruppersberger, C.A. Dutch (D) MD-2	80
Lowey, Nita (D) NY-18	95	Napolitano, Grace (D) CA-38	90	Rush, Bobby (D) IL-1	85
Lucas, Frank (R) OK-3	0	Neal, Richard (D) MA-2	95	Ryan, Paul (R) WI-1	25
Lungren, Dan (R) CA-3	5	Neugebauer, Randy (R) TX-19	0	Ryan, Tim (D) OH-17	80
Lynch, Stephen (D) MA-9	90	Nunes, Devin (R) CA-21	0	Salazar, John (D) CO-3	70
Mack, Connie (R) FL-14	5	Oberstar, James (D) MN-8	75	Sali, William (R) ID-1	0
Mahoney, Tim (D) FL-16	75	Obey, David (D) WI-7	90	Sánchez, Linda (D) CA-39	95
Maloney, Carolyn (D) NY-14	100	Olver, John (D) MA-1	100	Sanchez, Loretta (D) CA-47	90
Manzullo, Donald (R) IL-16	0	Ortiz, Solomon (D) TX-27	35	Sarbanes, John (D) MD-3	85
Marchant, Kenny (R) TX-24	5	Pallone, Frank (D) NJ-6	100	Saxton, Jim (R) NJ-3	75
Markey, Edward (D) MA-7	95	Pascrell, Bill (D) NJ-8	100	Schakowsky, Janice (D) IL-9	100

MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)	MEMBER	SCORE (%)
Schiff, Adam (D) CA-29	95	Spratt, John (D) SC-5	85	Walden, Greg (R) OR-2	20
Schmidt, Jean (R) OH-2	10	Stark, Fortney "Pet" (D) CA-13	100	Walsh, James (R) NY-25	45
Schwartz, Allyson (D) PA-13	95	Stearns, Cliff (R) FL-6	15	Walz, Tim (D) MN-1	85
Scott, Bobby (D) VA-3	90	Stupak, Bart (D) MI-1	70	Wamp, Zach (R) TN-3	10
Scott, David (D) GA-13	80	Sullivan, John (R) OK-1	5	Wasserman Schultz, Debbie (D) FL-20	90
Sensenbrenner, James (R) WI-5	20	Sutton, Betty (D) OH-13	85	Waters, Maxine (D) CA-35	100
Serrano, José (D) NY-16	85	Tancredo, Tom (R) CO-6	10	Watson, Diane (D) CA-33	90
Sessions, Pete (R) TX-32	0	Tanner, John (D) TN-8	70	Watt, Melvin (D) NC-12	95
Sestak, Joe (D) PA-7	100	Tauscher, Ellen (D) CA-10	100	Waxman, Henry (D) CA-30	95
Shadeegg, John (R) AZ-3	5	Taylor, Gene (D) MS-4	80	Weiner, Anthony (D) NY-9	90
Shays, Christopher (R) CT-4	100	Terry, Lee (R) NE-2	15	Welch, Peter (D) VT-AL	95
Shea-Porter, Carol (D) NH-1	90	Thompson, Bennie (D) MS-2	85	Weldon, David (R) FL-15	5
Sherman, Brad (D) CA-27	95	Thompson, Mike (D) CA-1	90	Weller, Jerry (R) IL-11	25
Shinkus, John (R) IL-19	10	Thornberry, William "Mac" (R) TX-13	0	Westmoreland, Lynn (R) GA-3	0
Shuler, Heath (D) NC-11	75	Tiahrt, Todd (R) KS-4	5	Wexler, Robert (D) FL-19	85
Shuster, Bill (R) PA-9	5	Tiberi, Patrick (R) OH-12	15	Whitfield, Edward (R) KY-1	15
Simpson, Mike (R) ID-2	5	Tierney, John (D) MA-6	100	Wicker, Roger (R) MS-1	5
Sires, Albio (D) NJ-13	95	Towns, Edolphus (D) NY-10	90	Wilson, Charlie (D) OH-6	60
Skelton, Ike (D) MO-4	70	Tsongas, Niki (D) MA-5	100	Wilson, Heather (R) NM-1	15
Slaughter, Louise (D) NY-28	95	Tubbs Jones, Stephanie (D) OH-11	75	Wilson, Joe (R) SC-2	0
Smith, Adam (D) WA-9	90	Turner, Mike (R) OH-3	10	Wolf, Frank (R) VA-10	60
Smith, Adrian (R) NE-3	5	Udall, Mark (D) CO-2	95	Woolsey, Lynn (D) CA-6	95
Smith, Christopher (R) NJ-4	85	Udall, Tom (D) NM-3	100	Wu, David (D) OR-1	100
Smith, Lamar (R) TX-21	0	Upton, Fred (R) MI-6	35	Wynn, Albert (D) MD-4	100
Snyder, Vic (D) AR-2	85	Van Hollen, Chris (D) MD-8	95	Yarmuth, John (D) KY-3	100
Solis, Hilda (D) CA-32	95	Velázquez, Nydia (D) NY-12	85	Young, Don (R) AK-AL	10
Souder, Mark (R) IN-3	5	Visclosky, Peter (D) IN-1	85	Young, Bill (R) FL-10	35
Space, Zack (D) OH-18	60	Walberg, Tim (R) MI-7	5		


ADD MY VOICE TO AMERICA'S ENVIRONMENTAL MAJORITY

Please visit www.lcv.org/scorecard to view the scorecard electronically, share it with friends and family, and learn more about how you can join with other environmental activists around the country who are making their voices heard from the state house to the White House.

To track how your representative and senators vote on key environmental and public health votes in 2008, please visit www.lcv.org to view our new Online Vote Tracker.

To make an additional contribution to LCV to support our efforts to turn your environmental values into national priorities, please use the enclosed envelope or visit www.lcv.org/donate.

Thank you for being the voice for the environment.



LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS | WWW.LCV.ORG



**LEAGUE OF
CONSERVATION VOTERS**

1920 L Street, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
Phone: 202.785.8683
www.lcv.org

NON-PROFIT
US POSTAGE
PAID
WASHINGTON DC
PERMIT # 3070

