

TO: Interested Parties
FROM: Andrew Baumann, Global Strategy Group & Christine Matthews, Bellwether Research and Consulting
DATE: November 21, 2017
RE: **Bipartisan Survey Findings: Elise Stefanik's constituents strongly oppose GOP tax plan, Arctic Refuge Drilling**

According to a new bipartisan survey conducted by Global Strategy Group and Bellwether Research in New York's 21st Congressional District, a large majority – including many Republicans – oppose the Republican tax bill and a proposal in Congress that will allow oil and gas companies to drill in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Congresswoman Elise Stefanik's constituents hold intensely negative feelings toward Donald Trump and she can expect a backlash from them if she supports Trump's agenda.

In a balanced debate, pro-drilling arguments lose overwhelmingly to the anti-drilling position and most remain firmly against opening the Arctic Refuge up to drilling. Stefanik would jeopardize her standing among her constituents with a pro-drilling vote. A majority say they will be less favorable toward Stefanik if she votes for drilling, including most of the independents and less conservative Republicans who are a large block of her constituents.

KEY SURVEY FINDINGS:

- **Trump is extremely unpopular and negative feelings for him are intense:** Most of Stefanik's constituents rate Trump unfavorably (41% favorable/51% unfavorable) with a large number holding intensely negative feelings for him (41% very unfavorable). This negativity includes half of independents (42% favorable/50% unfavorable) and most of less conservative Republicans (18% favorable/70% unfavorable).
- **Negativity for Trump and his agenda extends to his tax plan:** Almost half of Stefanik's constituents are against Trump's tax plan with opposition for the measure outpacing support by 19 points (30% support to 49% oppose), including among a large number of less conservative Republicans (-40 support).
- **A large majority opposes drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge:** Opposition to the proposal to open the Arctic Refuge to drilling exceeds support by 35 points (27% support to 62% oppose).
- **Opposition to drilling extends across partisan lines:** Democrats (-62 support) and independents (-44 support) are strong opponents of drilling. Only conservative Republicans (+25 support) are in favor of the plan. Less conservative Republicans (-63 support) and non-college voters (-27 support), a GOP friendly group, both oppose it. College voters are overwhelmingly against drilling (-52 support).

- **Pro-drilling arguments fail to persuade and most clearly favor the anti-drilling position:** When Stefanik's constituents hear arguments on each side, the anti-drilling argument wins over a strong pro-drilling argument by 30 points (62% to 32%). After hearing both sides, a majority is still strongly against drilling (-36 support: 29% support to 65% oppose), including among independents (-44 support) and less conservative Republicans (-52 support).

***Supporters** of the proposal say opening the Arctic Refuge to responsible energy development will create thousands of jobs, generate billions in revenue, lower the deficit, and reduce our dependence on foreign oil. Exploration would be limited to a small portion of the Refuge and innovations in drilling technology mean there is less risk to the environment. Alaskans support drilling because it will create up to 130 thousand jobs. This is a win-win opportunity and Washington D.C. should not be telling Alaska what they can and can't do.*

***Opponents** of the proposal say drilling in the Arctic Refuge would devastate the largest area of protected wilderness left in America. It would create a vast industrial complex of wells, pipelines, and potential leaks that would ruin this pristine landscape and put iconic wildlife at risk. At a time when the U.S. leads the world in oil and gas production and oil companies are making record profits, it is not necessary to drill here since oil companies already hold hundreds of leases they aren't using on productive land just outside the Refuge.*

- **A vote to open Arctic Refuge to drilling will generate a backlash:** A majority say they would be less favorable toward Stefanik if she supports drilling (58% less favorable/25% more favorable). This vote would jeopardize her standing among independents (-32 net change) and Republicans overall (-11 net change), especially less conservative Republicans (-44 net change).

ABOUT THIS POLL

Global Strategy Group & Bellwether Research conducted a survey from November 9-14, 2017 with 250 registered voters in New York's 21st Congressional district. The results have a margin of error of +/-6.2% and care has been taken to ensure that the survey is weighted to reflect the makeup of our desired universe.