



“COUGH”

Video	Audio	Facts
<p>Imagery of corporate polluting throughout ad; Think you know Mike Coffman?</p>	<p>Think you know Mike Coffman?</p> <p>Corporate polluters know him better.</p>	
<p>Coffman: \$820,000 From Polluters Cite: www.opensecrets.org</p>	<p>Mike Coffman took over eight hundred thousand dollars from polluters ...</p>	<p>Mike Coffman Has Received \$829,944 From The Oil And Gas Industry. According to campaign finance records compiled by the Center for Responsive Politics, Mike Coffman has received \$829,944 in campaign contributions from the Oil and Gas industry throughout his career. [OpenSecrets.org accessed 8/22/2018]</p>
<p>Coffman: Voted to Let Dangerous Toxins into Air and Water Cite: House Vote #488, 9/13/2017; House Vote #650, 12/1/2015; House Vote #391, 7/18/2017; House Vote #282, 05/24/2017; House Vote #73, 02/01/2017; House Vote #528, 9/14/2017</p>	<p>And voted to let them spew dangerous toxins into our air and water.</p>	<p>Mike Coffman Voted To Block The EPA From Setting Limits On Pollution From Gas Wells. Representative Markwayne Mullin (R-OK) offered an amendment to H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would prevent the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) from implementing its standards to reduce methane pollution from new and modified sources in the oil and gas industry. The EPA’s methane standard requires low-cost, proven safeguards that are critical to reducing methane’s contributions to climate change, with estimated climate benefits of \$170 million by 2025, and also curbs toxic air pollutants that contribute to smog and jeopardize the health of nearby communities. On September 13, the House approved the Mullin amendment by a vote of 218-195. The pro-environment position was No. Mike Coffman voted Yes. [LCV Scorecard; House Vote #488, 09/13/2017]</p> <p>Mike Coffman Voted To Block The Clean Power Plan. Senator Shelley Moore Capito (R-WV) sponsored S.J. Res. 24, the Congressional Review Act "Resolution of Disapproval" that would permanently block the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Clean Power Plan. The Clean Power Plan established the first national limits on carbon pollution from existing power plants - our nation's single largest source of the</p>



pollution fueling climate change. S.J. Res. 24 is an extreme measure that would block the biggest step our country has ever taken to address climate change, threatening our health and our future. S.J. Res. 24 would also prohibit the EPA from ever developing "substantially similar" standards in the future. Following its passage in the Senate, on December 1, the House approved S.J. Res. 24. by a vote of 242-180 (House roll call vote 650). President Obama vetoed S.J. Res. 24 on December 18. Mike Coffman voted YES. NO was the pro-environment position. [[LCV Scorecard](#); [House Vote #650, 12/1/2015](#)]

Mike Coffman Voted For An Attack on Smog Protections & the Clean Air Act. Representative Pete Olson (R-TX) sponsored H.R. 806, the Ozone Standards Implementation Act of 2017, which would delay the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) recently-updated standards for ozone pollution and eviscerate a central pillar of the Clean Air Act. This legislation would allow the EPA to consider factors unrelated to health, like technical feasibility, in the initial standard setting process. This bill would also delay the EPA's ozone standards by at least ten years and double the current five-year review periods for updating all national air quality standards, allowing unhealthy air to persist even longer. On July 18, the House approved H.R. 806 by a vote of 229-199. Mike Coffman voted YES. The pro-environment position was NO. [[LCV Scorecard](#), [House Vote #391, 7/18/2017](#)]

Mike Coffman Voted To Eliminate Clean Water Act Safeguards Against Toxic Pesticides. Rep. Gibbs (R-OH) introduced H.R. 953, the 'Reducing Regulatory Burdens Act of 2017,' which would eliminate Clean Water Act safeguards protecting communities from toxic pesticides and result in pesticides being discharged directly into water bodies without any meaningful oversight or public transparency. H.R. 953 is unnecessary to address the Zika virus or other mosquito-borne health threats and is simply a handout to pesticide manufacturers and other corporate interests. On May 24, the House passed H.R. 953. The pro-environment position was No. Mike Coffman voted Yes. [[LCV Scorecard](#); [House Vote #282, 05/24/2017](#)]

Mike Coffman Voted To Block Rules Protecting Streams From Coal Mining Waste. Representative Bill Johnson (R-OH) sponsored H.J. Res. 38, the Congressional Review Act "Resolution of Disapproval" of the Stream Protection Rule, which would

		<p>threaten the drinking water and public health of communities living near coal mining operations by permanently blocking the Department of Interior's recently finalized Stream Protection Rule. This important rule sets out commonsense requirements for coal mining that will better protect ground water, surface water, and ecosystems from toxic coal mining waste, which has been linked to increased rates of cancer, birth defects, and other health problems in nearby communities. The rule will protect 6,000 miles of streams and 52,000 acres of forests, sets up new requirements for water quality monitoring and restoration, and generally compels coal mining companies to reduce their impact on the surrounding environment. The Congressional Review Act, an extreme legislative tool, would not only overturn the current rule, but would prohibit the Department of Interior from ever issuing "substantially similar" regulations in the future that reduce the harmful impacts of coal mining, decimating the health and environment of everyone who lives near or downstream from these operations. On February 1, the House approved H.R. Res. 38 by a vote of 228-194. The pro-environment position was No. Mike Coffman voted Yes. [LCV Scorecard; House Vote #73, 02/01/2017]</p> <p>Mike Coffman Voted To Slash Funding For Environmental Protection And Undo Protections For Clean Air And Clean Water. Representative Ken Calvert (R-CA) sponsored H.R. 3354, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2018, which would harm people's health and the outdoors by slashing funding for many critical programs and by using radical policy riders to outright block environmental protections. This spending bill contained damaging cuts to programs that protect public health and fuel our outdoor economy, such as the more than \$500 million cut to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the 32 percent cut to the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Additionally, this legislation contained a slew of anti-environmental and other ideological policy riders, including a measure that would allow the EPA to bypass the law and hide its repeal of drinking water protections for 117 million people. On September 14, the House approved H.R. 3354 by a vote of 211-198. Mike Coffman voted Yes. The pro-environment position was NO. [LCV Scorecard, House Vote #528, 9/14/2017]</p>
<p>More Cancer</p>	<p>Which could lead to more cancer, asthma, and heart</p>	<p>Volatile Organic Compounds Leaking From Natural Gas Wells Alongside Methane Can Cause Serious Health Issues Including Cancer And Birth Defects.</p>

<p>Asthma</p> <p>Heart & Lung Disease</p>	<p>and lung disease.</p>	<p>In a 2015 story on the health effects of leaking gases in Aztec, NM, The Guardian, citing a study by University of Colorado professor Dr Detlev Helmig. The Guardian pointed out: “And it is not only methane that is leaking out of these gas wells but a host of other dangerous gasses, collectively known as volatile organic compounds (VOCs). They read like a devil’s cookbook of nastiness, for example benzene, which causes leukemia and other health problems; polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons that can cause cancer; and toluene, which is known to cause birth defects at high doses.” [The Guardian 8/14/2015]</p> <p>Citing Asthma And Lung Disease Concerns, American Lung Association President Called Repealing Ozone Standard “A Dangerous Step In The Wrong Direction.” "Delaying the process to clean up ozone pollution harms the health of millions of Americans and puts lives at risk, especially children living with asthma and others with lung disease," said Harold P. Wimmer, National President and CEO of the American Lung Association. "The EPA's job is to implement the Clean Air Act to ensure the air is safe to breathe for all, especially the most vulnerable. Stalling implementation of the 2015 ozone standard is a dangerous step in the wrong direction." [American Lung Association Press Release, 7/12/2017]</p> <p>18 National Medical Organizations Criticized Efforts To Repeal The Clean Power Plan, Noting The Plan Would Have Prevented An Estimated 90,000 Pediatric Asthma Attacks And 3,600 Premature Deaths Each Year. In October of 2017, a coalition of 18 national health and medical organizations issued a joint press release, saying: "Today’s proposal to revoke the Clean Power Plan is inconsistent with EPA's core mission of protecting public health and the environment. The Clean Power Plan, adopted in 2015, would have substantially reduced carbon pollution and other emissions from power plants, and prevented an estimated 90,000 pediatric asthma attacks and 3,600 premature deaths each year once fully implemented. Revoking this lifesaving plan denies Americans these health protections and removes crucial tools to reduce pollution that causes climate change.” [American Public Health Association Press Release, 10/10/2017]</p> <p>Clean Power Plan Would Have Limited Sulfur Dioxide, Which Can Cause Respiratory Illnesses, Heart Disease, and Cancer. In November of 2017, The</p>
---	---------------------------------	--



		<p>Weather Channel reported: “An Environmental Protection Agency analysis of the Obama-era Clean Power Plan found that even more lives could be saved by the climate rule than the Obama administration predicted, yet efforts to repeal the plan continue to move forward. According to the draft analysis released in October, the Clean Power Plan could, at least in one scenario, prevent up to 4,500 premature deaths per year by 2030. The rule calls for a reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from power plants to help reduce global warming. An added benefit of the plan is the elimination of other harmful pollutants such as sulfur dioxide, which can cause a slew of respiratory illnesses, heart disease and cancer.” [The Weather Channel, 11/2/2017]</p>
<p>Coffman: Votes with Trump 96% of the Time. Cite: FiveThirtyEight.com</p>	<p>And who does he vote with 96 percent of the time?</p> <p>The worst polluter of all.</p>	<p>Mike Coffman Votes With Donald Trump 95.6 Percent Of The Time. According to the blog FiveThirtyEight, which tracks the percentage of time each member of congress votes with or against the Trump administration when the administration takes a position on a vote in congress, as of August 27, 2018, Mike Coffman had voted with President Donald Trump 95.6 percent of the time. [FiveThirtyEight accessed 8/27/2018]</p>
<p>Vote No on Mike Coffman (Coffman becomes Cough-man)</p>	<p>Vote no on Mike <u>Cough</u>-man. Take the cough out of</p> <p>Congress.</p>	
<p>DISCLAIMER: LCV VICTORY FUND IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CONTENT OF THIS ADVERTISING. PAID FOR BY LCV VICTORY FUND, WWW.LCVVICTORYFUND.ORG, AND NOT AUTHORIZED BY ANY CANDIDATE OR CANDIDATE’S COMMITTEE.</p>	<p>LCV Victory Fund is responsible for the content of this advertising.</p>	